

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9812/2026

Lok Kalyan Sewa Sansthan, Ambedkar Nagar Mata Mandir Ke Pas, Bhopal Through Authorized Person Swami Ganeshaanand At Present Resided At Village Jaliwara Khurd, Tehsil Pipad City, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Hari Ram Panwar S/o Mada Ram Panwar, Resident Of Jaliwara Khurd, Tehsil Pipad City, District Jodhpur Rajasthan
2. Sahdev Singh S/o Laxman Singh, Resident Of Jaliwara Khurd, Tehsil Pipad City, District Jodhpur Rajasthan
3. Padam Singh S/o Babulal, Resident Of Pipad City, District Jodhpur
4. Kelki W/o Babulal, Resident Of Pipad City, District Jodhpur
5. Pista W/o Padam Singh, Resident Of Pipad City, District Jodhpur
6. Champalal S/o Mohanlal, Resident Of Pipad City, District Jodhpur
7. State Of Rajasthan, Through Tehsildar Pipad City, District Jodhpur

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Subodh Jangid
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

07/05/2026

1. Present writ petition has been filed challenging order dated 16.04.2026 passed by Assistant Collector, Pipad, District Jodhpur, in Revenue Application No. 271/2026.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner – Society is recorded khatedar of the land in question and is operating a Gaushala thereon; however, private respondents have wrongly filed application under Sections 131 and 136 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act alongwith an application for interim protection under Section 212 of Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955, which, it is contended, is not maintainable.

3. This Court finds that after coming to know about the factum of such application having been filed by private respondents, petitioner - Society has directly approached this Court by way of instant writ petition without first participating in the proceedings pending before the concerned authority and raising objections, if any, regarding maintainability of the same. In view of the settled position of law that invoking the writ jurisdiction of this Court is not appropriate where petitioner has an alternative remedy available to file a reply and to raise its objections before concerned authority, this Court is of the considered opinion that no interference at this stage is called for.

4. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for petitioner does not press the matter on merits, however, seeks liberty to submit appropriate reply before learned Assistant Collector cum Sub-Divisional Officer, Pipad, for issuance of appropriate directions.

5. Liberty as sought by petitioner deserves acceptance.

6. With these observations, present writ petition is **disposed of** with a direction to learned Court of Assistant Collector cum Sub-Divisional Officer, Pipad to consider and decide the proceedings initiated through Revenue Application No. 217/2026 expeditiously,

preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, without granting unnecessary adjournments to either of the parties.

7. Stay petition and all other pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

8-yagya/-