

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9805/2026

Jaiveer S/o Sadhuram, Aged About 47 Years, Resident Of Ward No. 02, Village Mehrana, Post Office Chhani Bari, 8 Mrn, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Director Of Police, Police Department, Jaipur.
2. The Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Rajasthan.
3. Hdfc Bank, Through Its Managing Director, Head Office- Hdfc Bank House, Senapati Bapat Marg, Lower Parel, Mumbai- 400013.
4. Branch Manager, Hdfc Bank, Bhadra Branch, Hdfc Bank Ltd, Ground Floor Ward No. 23, Bhadra.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Mohit Bishnoi.

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

06/05/2026

1. The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"I. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may be directed to remove the freeze on the bank account and money of account no.50100520937690 IFSC- HDFC0006534 of the petitioner maintained in HDFC Bank, Bhadra Branch.

II. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to pay the 10% interest per annum on the amount in petitioner's account which has been put on freeze from the date of the freeze till the date of release.

III. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be restricted from putting the

bank account of the petitioner under freeze in future without any just reason and reasonable evidence against the petitioner of being involved in any cyber fraudulent activity.

IV. The respondents may kindly be directed to remove the freeze on the remaining amount of money in the bank account of the humble petitioner or the respondent bank may kindly be directed to hold the disputed amount only while removing the debit freeze instruction on the entire account.

Any other appropriate order or direction that this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper may also be passed in favor of the petitioner company."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the respondent No.4 to keep the disputed amount (the amount allegedly transferred illegally into the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J

102-Jitender/-