

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 2025/2026

1. Teena Kumari D/o Jagdish Chandra, Aged About 27 Years,
R/o Village Post Baradiya Pratapgarh (Raj)
2. Dilkhush S/o Mahaveer Dass, Aged About 28 Years, R/o
Ward No. 1, Lasudiya Illa, Mandsore Madhya Pradesh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Superintendent Of Police, Pratapgarh
3. Superintendent Of Police, Ratlam
4. Sho, Police Station Rathajana District Pratapgarh
5. Sho, Police Station Bhavgarh Mandsore
6. Sho, Police Station Industrial Area Jhawara District
Ratlam.
7. Jagdish S/o Ratan Lal, R/o Village Post Baradiya
Pratapgarh (Raj)
8. Gopal S/o Ratan Lal, R/o Village Post Baradiya Pratapgarh
(Raj)
9. Karu Lal S/o Ratan Lal, R/o Village Post Baradiya
Pratapgarh (Raj)
10. Chandra Shekhar S/o Bhagat Ram, R/o Jhalawa P.s.
Jhawara District Ratlam.
11. Bhagat Ram, R/o Jhalawa P.s. Jhawara District Ratlam.
12. Parmanand, R/o Jhalawa P.s. Jhawara District Ratlam.
13. Vinod S/o Bhagat Ram, R/o Jhalawa P.s. Jhawara District
Ratlam.
14. Arjun S/o Bhagat Ram, R/o Jhalawa P.s. Jhawara District
Ratlam.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ashok Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Sri Ram Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA BORANA**Order****08/05/2026**

1. The present Criminal Writ Petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents for providing them protection on the ground that they apprehend threat to their life and personal liberty at the hands of the private respondents.
2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners are residing in live-in relationship and have executed agreement dated 01.05.2026 for the same.
3. However, a bare perusal of the complete petition does not reflect any averment to the effect that the petitioners are residing within the vicinity of the State of Rajasthan. Evidently, the police officers of Madhya Pradesh have also been impleaded as party respondents.
4. In view of the above facts, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition for want of jurisdiction.
5. At this stage, counsel for the petitioners seeks liberty to file afresh with the appropriate pleadings.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is **dismissed** with liberty aforesaid.

(REKHA BORANA),J