

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9800/2026

Manvendra Pratap Singh Ranawat S/o Shri Narendra Ranawat,
Aged About 41 Years, Resident Of Ward No 5, Village Keriya,
Tehsil Mandal, District Bhilwara(Raj).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Registrar, Department of Cooperative Societies, Government Of Rajasthan, Nehru Sahkar Bhawan, Bhawani Singh Road, Jaipur(Raj).
2. The Additional Registrar, Co-Operative Societies, Ajmer Division, Ajmer.
3. Rajasthan State Co-Operative Election Authority, Institutional Area, Jhalana Dungari, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The Deputy Registrar, Co -Operative Societies, Bhilwara.
5. Bhilwara Zila Dugdh Utpadak Sahkari Sangh Limited, Ajmer Road, Bhilwara Through Its Managing Director.
6. The District Collector, Bhilwara.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Lokesh Mathur.
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

07/05/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, who was holding the position of Chairperson in the Doodh Utpadak Sahakari Samiti and was one of the Directors in the Board of Directors of the Bhilwara Zila Dugdh Utpadak Sahkari Sangh Limited, upon completion of the tenure of the cooperative societies, has already requested the respondents to conduct elections of board of Directors and Chairpersons. However, without

any valid reasons, no steps have been taken by the respondents–Cooperative Department to hold such elections.

2. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner is in no way responsible for the delay in conducting the elections of the cooperative society. It was contended that, on the one hand, the respondents–Cooperative Department has failed to conduct elections for reasons best known to it, while on the other hand, it has taken a decision to remove the petitioner from the Board of Directors of the concerned cooperative society and to appoint administrator to look after its affairs.

3. Learned counsel submitted that the decision of the respondents–Cooperative Department to appoint administrator is wholly illegal and arbitrary. It is further contended that there exists no reason or justification for such appointment, particularly when the delay in conducting elections is not attributable to the petitioner.

4. The matter requires consideration.

5. Issue notice. Issue notice of the stay application as well.

6. In the meanwhile, and till the next date of hearing, the respondents–Cooperative Department are restrained from appointing administrator in the cooperative societies whose terms have expired. The respondents are free to undertake the election process and shall conclude the same expeditiously.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J