

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 3639/2026

1. Ahmed Ali Qureshi S/o Abdul Gaffar, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Sipahiyon Ka Mohalla, Sirohi, Rajasthan
2. Mohammad Hasan Khan S/o Abdul Khalik Barkati, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Sunaro Ka Vas, Sirohi Rajasthan
3. Asif Hussain Qureshi S/o Abdul Rashid Qureshi, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Sipahiyon Ka Mohalla, Sirohi Rajasthan

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Phalak Naaz W/o Nadeem Mohammad, R/o Ghanchewada, Sirohi, Rajasthan

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chakravarti Singh with Mr. Bajrang Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Ramesh Dewasi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

08/05/2026

1. The present S.B. Criminal Misc. Petition under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 60/2026 registered on 15.04.2026 at Police Station Sirohi, District Sirohi for the offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 189(2) and 74 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner No.1 had lodged an FIR No.53/2026 on 08.04.2026 against the husband of complainant. As a counterblast of the

same, FIR in question has been lodged on 15.04.2026 in relation to the alleged offence, which occurred on 07.04.2026 against the complainant.

3. In view of the submissions made above, issue notice of the petition so also of stay application.

4. Notice need not be issued to respondent No.1 as the same is being represented by the learned Public Prosecutor.

5. Issue notice to respondent No.2 only.

6. Meanwhile and till the next date, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners in connection with FIR No. 60/2026 registered on 15.04.2026 at Police Station Sirohi, District Sirohi.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioners would be required to join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer.

8. It is made clear that if the petitioners do not co-operate with the investigation, the learned Public Prosecutor would be at liberty to move appropriate application seeking vacation of the interim order.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J