

S.B. CIVIL MISC. APPEAL NO.2463/2011

Mahaveer Chand Jain

Vs.

Ku. Rashmi and Ors.

Date of Order :: 14.9.2016

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN BHANSALI

Mr. Dron Kaushik, for the appellant/s.

Mr. S.K. Sankhla, for the respondents-claimants.

Mr. Vipul Singhvi, for the respondent No.6.

Mr. Jagdish Vyas, for the respondent No.8.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that another appeal arising from the same judgment has already been admitted and order passed in favour of the respondent No.8 – Insurance Company to pay and recover the amount from the appellant has been stayed.

In view of the submissions made, admit. Issue notice.

The claimants are represented by Mr. S.K. Sankhla.

Service on respondents No.4,5 & 7 has already been dispensed with.

Mr. Vipul Singhvi, learned counsel appears for the

respondent No.6 and Mr. Jagdish Vyas, learned counsel appears for the respondent No.8, therefore, no need to issue fresh notice.

Heard on stay application.

The impugned award to the extent it permits the respondent No.8 to pay and recover the amount of compensation from the appellant shall remain stayed during the pendency of the appeal.

The stay application stands disposed of.

List alongwith SBCMA Nos. 2474/2011 and 452/2009.

(ARUN BHANSALI), J.