



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9999/2025

1. Chandrakanta Meena D/o Amba Lal Meena W/o Banshi Lal Meena, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village Dhavdi Phala, Bara Varda, District Pratapgarh.
2. Premlata Dangi D/o Shivilal W/o Onkar Lal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Pahalwa, District Chittorgarh.
3. Sarita Kumari D/o Shriram Thakan W/o Rajesh Kumar, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Chorari, Nua, District Jhunjhunu.
4. Lalita Sharma (Tripathi) D/o Ramdayal Sharma W/o Devendra Tripathi, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Someshwar, Kapasan, District Chittorgarh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj (Panchayati Raj), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Additional Commissioner, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. District Programme Coordinator And District Collector, Udaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Pratapgarh, Rajasthan.
5. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Dhamotar, District Pratapgarh, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9552/2025

1. Heera Lal Dangi S/o Bhani Lal Dangi, Aged About 39 Years, Bhallo Ka Guda (School Ke Pichhe), Girwa, District Udaipur.
2. Narendra Kumawat S/o Lokesh Chandra Kumawat, Aged About 37 Years, 1462, Chhoti Nokha, Inside Kumharo Ka Bhatta, Hiran Magri, Sector 4, Udaipur.
3. Pawan Kumar Sen S/o Udai Lal, Aged About 39 Years, Village And Post Karsana, Tehsil Dungla, District Chittorgarh.
4. Laxmi Kumari Kumhar D/o Ratan Lal Kumhar, Aged About 48 Years, Kumharwada, Rishabhdeo, Tehsil Rishabhdeo, District Udaipur.
5. Vinod Khatik S/o Sohan Lal Khatik, Aged About 39 Years, 5, Bhatt Wadi Rav Colony, Udaipur.

-----Petitioners



1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj (Panchayati Raj), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Additional Commissioner, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. District Programme Coordinator And District Collector, Udaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Udaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Kurabad, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.
6. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Vallabh Nagar, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.
7. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Bhinder, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.
8. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Naya Gaon, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.
9. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Lasadiya, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pawan Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Ritu Raj Singh with Mr. Shailendra Kumar.

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

26/08/2025

1. Learned counsel for the parties *ad idem* submit that a similar controversy bearing SBCWP No.7461/2025, has been disposed of by Coordinate Bench of this Court while affording petitioners liberty to file appropriate representation before the respondents-authorities for ventilating their grievance. The said order reads as infra:-

- "1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners will be satisfied if a liberty is granted to them to file an



appropriate representation before the competent authorities for redressal of their grievances. He further prays that the respondent authorities may be directed to decide the representation expeditiously.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents assures this Court that if the representation is filed by the petitioners, the same shall be considered and decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

4. Considering the submissions made at the bar, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate representation before the respondent authorities and the respondent authorities are directed to consider and decide the same expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of such representation, strictly in accordance with law.

5. Stay petition as well as other pending misc. applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly.”

2. In view of the afore-quoted order, it is hereby directed that the petitioners shall submit a detailed representation before the respondents for ventilating their grievance and the same shall be decided by way of passing a speaking order strictly in accordance with law within a period of ‘six weeks’ from the date of receipt of such representation.

3. With the aforesaid direction, these writ petitions are disposed of. Stay applications as well as all other pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(DR. NUPUR BHATI),J

4 & 5-/Devesh/-