

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9588/2026

Raju Ram S/o Magna Ram, Aged About 28 Years, Rajputo Ka Bas, Balesar Satan, Po Balesar Durgawatan, Dist Jodhpur, Rajasthan, 342023.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director General Of Police, Police Directorate, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Hdfc Bank, Through Manager/nodal Its Branch Floor Officer, Ground Khokhariya Village Banar Road, Khasra No. 191, Plot No. 09 Khokhariya, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, Represented By Its Director, National Highway-08, Mahipalpur, New Delhi, Pin-110037.
4. Dig, Cyber Crime, Police Head Quarters, Raj.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Yashveer Singh
Mr. Tanuj Jain
Mr. Ashok Choudhary

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT
Order

07/05/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

- "1. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner bearing account no. 50100773788312.
2. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may be restrained from freezing the account of the petitioner in the future without providing any opportunity of hearing and without any just reason and reasonable evidence.
3. Any other appropriate order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.

4. *Costs of the writ petition may kindly be awarded to the petitioner."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, the present civil writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to keep the disputed amount (the amount allegedly transferred illegally into the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J