

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9500/2026

Ajit Kumar S/o Rajveer, Aged About 27 Years, Resident Of Village Harpaloo Sanwal, Post Office Binjawas, District Churu (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Bank Of India, Through Its Managing Director, Registered Office, Jaipur.
2. Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Hamirwas Branch, District Churu.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Indian Cyber Crime Coordination Centre, National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, 5Th Floor, Ndcc-Ii Building, Jai Singh Road, New Delhi.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : None Present

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

02/05/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"In view of the facts and circumstances stated hereinabove, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

a) issue an appropriate writ, order or direction thereby directing the respondents to forthwith defreeze/unfreeze the Saving Bank Account bearing No. 38318236469 maintained by the petitioner with State Bank of India, Branch Hamirwas, District Churu and permit the petitioner to operate the said account without any restriction;

b) in the alternative, issue directions to the respondents to restrict the freezing only to the extent of the disputed amount, i.e. Rs. 5,440/-(aggregate of

alleged transactions), and permit the petitioner to freely operate the remaining balance, which presently exceeds Rs. 40,000/-, in terms of the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court;

c) further direct the respondents to release/allow withdrawal of the amount lying in excess of the disputed sum, so that the petitioner may carry on his business and meet his day-to-day expenses;

d) direct the respondents to provide complete details of the complaint allegedly lodged on the National Cybercrime Reporting Portal, including nature of allegations, transaction details, and concerned authority;

e) direct the respondents and/or concerned investigating agency to follow due process of law, including issuance of notice and grant of opportunity of hearing to the petitioner before taking any coercive action in future;

f) any other appropriate order, which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner.

g) Cost of writ petition may kindly be awarded in favour of the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to keep the disputed amount (the amount allegedly transferred illegally into the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise

the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent - bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J

25-/Msingh//-