

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9472/2026

Dr. Ambedkar Modern Shikshan Sansthan, Manakrasar, Tehsil Sri
Dungargarh, Dist. Bikaner Through Its President Ramesh Kumar
S/o Shri Dev Kishan, Aged 31 Years

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary,
Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The District Collector, Bikaner.
3. Tyger Capital Private Limited (Formerly Known As M/s
Adani Capital Private Limited), Registered Office Adani
House, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad - 380009 Gujarat,
India.
4. The Sho, Police Station, District Bikaner.
5. The Tehsildar, Tehsil Sridungargarh, Dist. Bikaner.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dinesh Kumar Ojha
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S.R. Paliwal Mr. Rituraj Singh Bhati

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

08/05/2026

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that, pursuant to the directions issued by the learned trial Court in the case of ***Tyger Capital Pvt. Ltd. vs. Dev Kishan Mohanram & Ors.*** passed in civil suit no. 155/2025 vide order dated 17.12.2025 (Annexure-6), the respondent-Bank has wrongly seized the property belonging to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no concern or connection with the proceedings arising out of aforesaid suit.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner limits his prayer seeking a direction permitting him to submit a representation before respondent Nos. 4 and 5, and further prays that the said representation be decided expeditiously.

Learned counsel Mr. Rituraj Singh Bhati and Mr. S.R. Paliwal put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 and 5, respectively, and submit that the proceedings in question were initiated pursuant to the order passed in civil suit no. 155/2025. However, they submit that if the petitioner submits a representation within a reasonable period, the same shall be considered expeditiously, in accordance with law.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation alongwith certified copy of this order before respondent Nos. 4 and 5 within a period of 7 days from today. Upon receipt of such representation, respondent Nos. 4 and 5 shall consider and decide the same within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of said representation, after obtaining the relevant revenue records and examining the claim of the petitioner in accordance with law.

It is further observed that, in case it is found that the land/property belonging to the petitioner is covered by the proceedings arising out of the order passed in civil suit no. 155/2025, the respondents shall be at liberty to proceed in accordance with law, including continuation of the seizing proceedings concerning the petitioner's property.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

The stay application and all other pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioner's grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the application in a particular manner.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J