

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 295/2026

1. Ramotar S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
2. Savitri W/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 105 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
3. Shri Omprakash, S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Deceased Through Legal Representatives-
4. Suchitra W/o Late Shri Omprakash, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
5. Shrikant S/o Shri Omprakash, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
6. Sarvesh Sharma S/o Shri Omprakash, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
7. Pawan Kumar S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
8. Sushil Kumar S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
9. Raj Kumar S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
10. Kalawati D/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 76 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
11. Laxmi D/o Shri Shanakr Lal, Aged About 70 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.
12. Kiran D/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 68 Years, R/o Churu, District Churu.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Durgadutt S/o Shri Ladu Ram, Deceased, Through Legal Representatives.
2. Suresh Kumar S/o Late Shri Durga Dutt, R/o Building No. 2, Ground Floor, Vijaynagar, Padma Nagar Near Vidhwaon Ka Ashram, District Thane (Maharashtra)
3. Taramani W/o Shri Ramavtar, R/o Ward No.17, Near Khariya Kua, Bissau, District Jhunjhunu.
4. Smt. Lalita Devi W/o Ramavtar Patel, C/o Ram Narayan Patel, R/o Patelo Ka Mohalla, Village Shishu, District Sikar.

5. Santosh W/o Manoj Kumar Sharma, R/o Ward No.17,behind T.v. Tower, Chirawa, District Jhunjhunu.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Abhinav Jain

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

25/05/2026

1. The instant application has been preferred seeking restoration of the S.B. Criminal Misc. Petition No. 1275/2022.
2. The petition filed by the petitioner has been dismissed by the Registry in pursuance of the direction passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 12.03.2026 whereby the counsel was obligated to file extra sets within three weeks. Since the petitioner was not aware of the order passed on 12.03.2026 and, therefore, the needful could not be done and thus, the office vide its proceeding dated 17.04.2026 dismissed the Misc. petition.
3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. I am of the view that a lis raised by a litigant must be decided on merits instead of trivial, technical lapses and grounds or failure of abdication of a lawyer in doing something under directions of the Court by the impugned order. The matter relates to proceedings under Section 145-146 of the CrPC and the revision emanated therefrom. In my humble view, an adjudication has to be done by this Court. Thus, the instant misc. application is allowed. The petition bearing S.B. Criminal Misc. Petition



No.1275/2022 be restored and re-registered to its original number and listed whereafter.

(FARJAND ALI),J

51-yogeshwar/-