

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9279/2026

1. Bheekha Ram Choudhary S/o Shri Votaram Ji, Aged About 62 Years, R/o Choudhriyon Ki Dhani, Dugdh Utpadkh Sahkari Samiti Ltd. Tehsil Sindari, District Barmer.
2. Tara Ram S/o Dhanna Ram, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Ramdev Bithuja, Bab Ramdev Nagar, Dugdh Utpadak Sahkari Samiti Tehsil Pachpdara, District- Balotra (Barmer).
3. Shitan Singh S/o Mukanaji, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Vakalgadi, Tehsil- Pachpdara, District Balotra (Barmer).
4. Dhanaram Ram S/o Shri Peeraram, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Anjana Nagar, Tehsil- Pachpdara, District Balotra (Barmer).
5. Nagaram S/o Jawanaram, Aged About 62 Years, R/o Bhagwati Nagar, Tehsil- Pachpadra, District Balotra (Barmer).
6. Moti Ram S/o Mana Ram, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Raniyasara, Tehsil- Pachpadra, District Balotra (Barmer).
7. Ladaram S/o Shri Paragaram, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Shriram Kheda, Tehsil- Sindari, District Balotra (Barmer).
8. Punaram S/o Jodharam, Aged About 66 Years, R/o Dedawas Charanan, Tehsil- Gudamalani, District Balotra (Barmer).
9. Kishnaram S/o Jodha Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Chalkana, Tehsil- Dhorimana, District Balotra (Barmer).
10. Parmeshwari Devi W/o Devilal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Khar Ki Beri, Tehsil- Dhorimana, District Balotra (Barmer).
11. Sugni Devi W/o Pemaram, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Sherniyon Ki Dhani, Tehsil Dhorimana, District Balotra (Barmer).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Registrar Co-Operative Societies, Nehru Sahkar Bhawan, Bais Godam, Jaipur
2. Additional Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Jodhpur.
3. Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Barmer,

Rajasthan.

4. Rajasthan State Cooperative Election Authority, 10-B, Institutional Area, Rai Singh Bhawan, Jalana Doongri, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Barmer Dugdh Utpadak Sahkari Sang Ltd., Through Its Managing Director, Barmer.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Prithvi Raj Singh Jodha
For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

29/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners, who were holding the positions of Chairmen in the Doodh Utpadak Sahakari Samities, upon completion of the tenure of the cooperative societies, have already requested the respondents to conduct elections. However, without any valid reasons, no steps have been taken by the respondents–Cooperative Department to hold such elections.

2. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioners are in no way responsible for the delay in conducting the elections of the cooperative societies. It was contended that, on the one hand, the respondents–Cooperative Department has failed to conduct elections for reasons best known to it, while on the other hand, it has taken a decision to remove the petitioners from the Chairmanship of the concerned cooperative societies and to appoint administrators to look after their affairs.

3. Learned counsel submitted that the decision of the respondents–Cooperative Department to appoint administrators is wholly illegal and arbitrary. It was further contended that there exists no reason or justification for such appointment, particularly when the delay in conducting elections is not attributable to the petitioners.

4. The matter requires consideration.

5. Issue notice. Issue notice of the stay application as well.

6. In the meanwhile, and till the next date of hearing, the respondents–Cooperative Department are restrained from appointing administrators in the cooperative societies whose terms have expired. However, the respondents shall be at liberty to initiate the process for conducting elections in the said cooperative societies.

7. Connect the matter with S.B. CWP Nos.9278/2026 and 8560/2026.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J