

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Writ Miscellaneous Application No. 240/2026

In

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8418/2022

1. Bhanwari Devi W/o Late Shri Satya Narayan, Aged About 65 Years, Majisa Ka Bass, Near Nawalsagar Well, Govt. Press Road, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
2. Rajendra Soni S/o Late Shri Satya Narayan, Aged About 42 Years, Majisa Ka Bass, Near Nawalsagar Well, Govt. Press Road, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Bank Of India, Head Office Through Its Authorized Officer, Having Its Registered Office At Kishan Bhawan, 101A, 10AB and 102, Tonk Road, Lalkothi, Jaipur Rajasthan 302015.
2. Branch Manager, Union Bank Of India, Municipal Council Branch, Near Kirti Stambh, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
3. Chanda Kanwar W/o Kishan Singh, Gali No. 3, Hanuman Hatha, Ganesh Mandir, Bikaner.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. C.S. Kotwani

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Jaikishan Rankawat

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

29/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that, while passing the order dated 20.04.2026, this Court failed to take into consideration that the petitioners had already deposited an amount of Rs. 2,90,000/- with the respondents prior to the passing of the interim order dated 06.06.2022. It was further submitted that, in compliance with the said interim order dated

06.06.2022, the petitioners deposited an additional sum of Rs. 4,50,000/- through a demand draft. Learned counsel contended that, upon deposit of the said amount of Rs. 4,50,000/-, no loan amount remained due against the petitioners. Thus, the observation recorded in Para No. 4 of the order dated 06.06.2022, to the effect that the petitioners failed to demonstrate their bona fides in clearing all dues, deserves to be deleted, and the order dated 06.06.2022 warrants modification accordingly.

2. This Court, however, upon a careful perusal of the order dated 06.06.2022, does not find any sufficient ground warranting clarification or modification thereof. The said order, in the opinion of this Court, is clear, unambiguous, and does not suffer from any apparent error on the face of the record so as to require further clarification /modification /interference.

3. Accordingly, the present application stands rejected.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J