

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9382/2026

Shahjad Behalim S/o Shri Shambhu Khan Behalim, Aged About
37 Years, R/o School Ke Paas, Khera Deogarh (Nimaj), Tehsil -
Jaitaran, District - Pali, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department
Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, Government
Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of
Rajasthan Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Director, Department Of Elementary Education,
Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. Zila Parishad Jodhpur, Through Its Chief Executive Officer,
Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shahjad Behalim
(petitioner in person)

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE NUPUR BHATI

Order

02/05/2026

1. Petitioner present in person submits that the issue involved
in the writ petition is squarely covered by the order dated
27.02.2026 passed by the Co-ordinate bench of this Court at
Jaipur Bench in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3907/2026 (Hanif
Mohammed Pathan Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)*** which has
been decided placing reliance upon the judgment passed by the
Supreme Court in the case of ***State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs.
Namonarayan Sharma & Ors. (2026 INSC 179)***.

2. Considering the grievance raised herein and in the light of the judgment referred to (*supra*), the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondent-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case of ***State of Rajasthan and Ors. Vs. Namo Narayan Sharma (supra)***, but in case of deviation, with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 60 days from date of receipt of representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioner has provided E-mail in his representation. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he has a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.
3. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioner, then same benefits be extended to the petitioner.
4. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(DR.NUPUR BHATI),J