

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5061/2016

Shree Ram International a unit of Shree Ram Hotel, Near Paanch Batti Circle, Residency Road, Jodhpur through its partner Om Prakash Soni, aged about 54 years, son of Shri Mohan Lal Soni, resident of 113, P.W.D. Colony, Jodhpur

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through the Principal Secretary, Local Self Government, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Local Self Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur through its Commissioner.
4. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : None present

For Respondent(s) : None present

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL**

Order

28/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition has been filed against the order dated 05.04.2016 passed by the Director, Local Self Department vide which the revision petition filed by the present petitioner was dismissed. In the revision petition, the petitioner had challenged the demand notice dated 17.12.2015 whereby the petitioner was required to deposit marriage palace registration fees. The revision petition was dismissed on the grounds that the premises of the petitioner fell within the definition of a "marriage venue" under the

Jodhpur Municipal Corporation (Marriage Venue) Bye-laws, 2008, and accordingly, the prescribed licence fee was applicable.

2. The writ petition in hand was filed some time 10 years ago in the year 2016 and has remained pending ever since.

3. Order-sheet reflects that none appeared for the petitioner when the matter was listed on 17.12.2025. In the interest of justice, no adverse order was passed and the matter was adjourned.

4. When it is taken up for hearing today, position remains the same, as none appears on behalf of both the sides.

5. It appears that after sheer passage of time, the aforesaid challenge in the writ petition is rendered infructuous and/or otherwise due to certain subsequent developments, the petitioner is not interested to pursue his cause.

6. Be that as it may, the writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

7. Stay petition also stands disposed of, accordingly.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

(ARUN MONGA),J