

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9210/2026

Jk Cement Limited, Having Its Registered Office At Kamla Tower, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh Through Its Authorized Signatory Anand Dadhich S/o Shri Shiv Kumar Ji, Aged About 34 Years, Presently Working As Assistant Manager Legal Of Jk Cement Works, Nimbahera, District Chittorgarh (A Unit Of Jk Cement Limited).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Indian Audit And Accounts Department, Through The Principal Accountant General (A And E), 2, Janpath, C Scheme, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Secretary, Department Of Finance (Tax Division), Bhagwan Das Road, C-Scheme, Jaipur.
4. The Secretary, Department Of Revenue (Finance), Secretariat, Tikal Marg, C Scheme, Ashok Nagar, Jaipur.
5. The District Collector, Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh.
6. The Additional District Collector, Chhitorgarh, District Chittorgarh.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Akhilesh Rajpurohit
Mr. Hardik Vyas

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

29/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned demand of Rs. 29.85 lakhs has been raised against the petitioner merely on the basis of an audit objection. It is further contended that, as a matter of fact, in lieu of the pasture land sought to be

allotted to the petitioner company, an equal extent of land has already been surrendered by the petitioner, which clearly satisfies the requirement of law.

2. However, without there being any legal requirement for considering the worth/value of the land so surrendered, impugned demand has been raised.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in another writ petition involving the same controversy i.e. S.B Civil Writ Petition No.13084/2025 (M/s Wonder Cement Ltd. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.), Coordinate Bench of this Court has granted interim relief.

4. Matter requires consideration.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply a copy of the writ petition in two sets to learned counsel Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, AAG, who represents all the respondents.

6. Registry is directed to reflect the name of Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, AAG, as counsel for the respondent, in the cause list.

7. In the meantime, respondents are restrained from taking any coercive action against the petitioner in pursuance of the impugned notice dated 17.02.2026 (Annexure-14).

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J