

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9247/2026

Mahavir Godara S/o Shri Hanuman Prasad, Aged About 38 Years,
R/o Ward No. 7 Vpo Gomawali, 8 Gm, Ganganagar, Under
Suspension As Senior Customer Service Asso., Pnb, Gharsana
Mandi Under Circle Office, Sriganganagar (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Punjab National Bank, Through Its Chairman And
Managing Director, Head Office, Plot No. 4, Sector No. 10,
Dwarka, New Delhi.
2. General Manager, Disciplinary Action Cell (Workmen),
Head Office, Dwarka, New Delhi.
3. Deputy General Manager, Punjab National Bank, Head
Office, Dwarka, New Delhi.
4. Assistant General Manager, Disciplinary Action Cell
(Workmen), Head Office, Dwarka, New Delhi.
5. Chief Manager, Hr Department, Circle Office, Sri
Ganganagar, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Raghu Raj Sharma
Mr. Sudarshan Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA
Order

29/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pending investigation/inquiry against the petitioner, one order dated 28.01.2025 was issued by the Chief Manager, Punjab National Bank, Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan), for placing the petitioner under suspension.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that as per the bipartite settlement dated 10.04.2002 between Indian Banks' Association and AIBEA, a charge-sheet ought to have been issued within a period of three months from the date of suspension, yet it was delayed and a charge-sheet was issued to the petitioner on 16.10.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that although more than 1 year & 3 months have expired, yet the respondents have not reviewed the suspension order and petitioner is still under suspension.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, liberty is granted to the petitioner to submit a representation before the respondents for reviewing the suspension order, which may be decided expeditiously by the respondents.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court deems it just and proper to grant liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation before the Competent Authority against suspension order dated 28.01.2025 with a request to review/revoke the same. In case, any such representation is made, the same shall be considered strictly in accordance with law and necessary speaking orders shall be passed within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation along with a certified copy of this order. In case, the respondents take a decision to continue the suspension order, cogent reason shall be assigned in the speaking order and the same shall be communicated to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of this case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J