

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9153/2024

1. Aditya Pratap Singh S/o Shri Ganpat Singh Chouhan, Aged About 35 Years, At Present Pradhan, Panchayat Samiti Railmagra, R/o Village Kotadi, Tehsil Railmagra, District Rajsamand.
2. Heeralal Gadri S/o Shri Ambalal, Aged About 28 Years, At Present Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Rajpura, R/o Village Sindesar Khurd, Tehsil Railmagra, District Rajsamand.
3. Kishan Lal S/o Shri Heeralal Jat, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Rajpura, District Rajsamand.
4. Bherulal S/o Shri Ganesh Lal Jat, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Rajpura, District Rajsamand.
5. Mukesh Vaishnav S/o Shri Shanker Das, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Rajpura, District Rajsamand.
6. Naveen S/o Shri Dharmchand Gokru, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Rajpura, District Rajsamand.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Collector, Rajsamand
2. Hindustan Zinc Ltd., Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Rajpura Dariba Mines, Village Rajpura Tehsil Railmagra Dist. Rajsamand (Raj.).
3. The Secretary, Mining Department, State Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
4. Unit Head, Rajpura Dariba Mines, Hzl Dist. Rajsamand (Raj.).
5. Director, Dariba Sbu Hzl, Dariba Complex Tehsil Railmagra, Dist. Rajsamand (Raj.).
6. The Deputy Director, Rajasthan Pollution Control Board, Jaipur, Jhallana Dungri Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	None present
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, AAG asst. by Mr. Harshwardhan Singh Chundawat Mr. Daksh Sharma

Mr. S.S. Rathore, AAG
Ms. Kanchan Jodha for
Mr. N.S. Rajpurohit, AAG
Mr. Akhilesh Rajpurohit
Mr. Tararam Sirvi
Mr. Mahendra Vishnoi

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL
Order

13/04/2026

1. None appears for the petitioners.
2. It appears that the issue raised in the present Public Interest Litigation is already pending consideration before this Court in a previously instituted writ petition being D.B. Civil Writ Petition No.12764/2022.
3. In view thereof, it so appears that the petitioners may have lost interest in pursuing the present petition and that is why there is no representation on their behalf.
4. In any case, since the same subject matter is already *sub judice* in the aforesaid earlier petition, entertaining the present Public Interest Litigation would result in parallel proceedings on the same cause, which is neither warranted nor otherwise a judicial propriety.
5. Accordingly, the D.B. Civil Writ Petition No.9153/2024 is dismissed.
6. Pending application(s) also stands disposed of.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

(ARUN MONGA),J