

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9132/2026

Sadu Ram S/o Ladhu Ram, Aged About 65 Years, Resident Of
Meghwalo Ka Bass, Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Panchayati
Raj Department, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The District Collector, Beawar, District Beawar.
3. Sub Tehsildar Kalu, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar,
Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9240/2026

1. Toda Ram S/o Bhagu Ram, Aged About 52 Years,
Resident Of Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).
2. Sajjan Ram S/o Ugma Ram, Aged About 38 Years,
Resident Of Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).
3. Nema Ram S/o Jassa Ram, Aged About 45 Years,
Resident Of Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).
4. Sohan Lal S/o Nathu Ram, Aged About 65 Years,
Resident Of Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).
5. Kalu Ram S/o Shivnarayan, Aged About 55 Years,
Resident Of Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).
6. Pushpa W/o Ram Narayan, Aged About 77 Years,
Resident Of Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).
7. Nathu Ram S/o Shanker Lal, Aged About 50 Years,
Resident Of Meghwalo Ka Bass Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran,
District Beawar(Raj).
8. Narayan Lal S/o Chuni Lal, Aged About 77 Years,
Resident Of Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).
9. Uda Ram S/o Jay Ram, Aged About 55 Years, Resident
Of Kumharo Ka Bas, Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District
Beawar(Raj).
10. Uda Ram S/o Jay Ram, Aged About 50 Years, Resident



Of Kumharo Ka Bas Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).

11. Tulcha Ram S/o Rampal, Aged About 62 Years, Resident Of Chokidaro Ka Bass, Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).
12. Dharma Ram S/o Danwara Ram, Aged About 65 Years, Resident Of Falka, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar(Raj).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Panchayati Raj Department, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The District Collector, Beawar, District Beawar.
3. Sub Tehsildar Kalu, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar, Rajasthan.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kunal Upadhyay.
Mr. Sahee Ram.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. S.R. Paliwal, G.C.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

JUDGMENT

1. Date of conclusion of arguments	21.05.2026
2. Date on which judgment was reserved	21.05.2026
3. Whether the full judgment or only the operative part is pronounced :	Full Judgment
4. Date of pronouncement	05.06.2026

1. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad-idem* that the controversy in present writ petition is squarely covered by decision rendered in order dated 08.05.2026 passed in **S.B.C.W.P No. 9221/2026** titled **Shankar Lal & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.**

2. Operative portion of said judgment is extracted hereinbelow:-

"9.2 Therefore, impugned eviction orders dated 16.03.2026 and public notice dated 06.04.2026, stand vitiated for having been passed in clear violation of the principles of natural justice so also in violation of procedure prescribed under Section 91 of the Act of 1956. The failure to afford a meaningful opportunity of hearing and to adhere to the minimum procedural safeguards renders the action legally unsustainable.

Conclusion:-

10. In view of the aforesaid reasoning and judicial pronouncements, present writ petitions are hereby, **allowed**. Impugned orders of eviction dated 16.03.2026 passed against petitioners are hereby quashed and set aside. The matters are remanded back to the Court of Sub Tehsildar, Anandpur, Kalu – respondent No.3 with a direction to restore the cases to their original numbers.

10.1 Respondent No.3 is directed to initiate the proceedings afresh and to decide the same after granting due opportunity of hearing and strictly following the procedure prescribed under Section 91. The consequential order dated 06.04.2026 is also quashed and set aside.

10.2 Respondents are restrained from taking coercive action against the petitioners until the proceedings under Section 91 are finally decided afresh by the competent authority.

10.3 It is made clear that the interference in the present case is solely on account of the manner in which the proceedings were conducted. Respondent no. 3 shall be at liberty to consider and decide the matter afresh strictly in accordance with law without being influenced any observation made herein."

3. Accordingly, present writ petitions are **allowed** and eviction orders passed against petitioners are hereby quashed and set aside. The matters are remanded back to the Court of Sub

Tehsildar, Kalu, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar – respondent No.3 with a direction to restore the cases to their original numbers. All the directions contained in judgment dated 27.05.2026 in **S.B.C.W.P No. 9221/2026** titled **Shankar Lal & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.** shall operate mutatis mutandis in the case of petitioners as well.

5. Stay applications and all other pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

-sumer/-