

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 1638/2025

Vijendra Kumar Dhayal S/o Meer Singh Dhayal, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Gagor P.s. Rajgarh, Dist. Churu (Lodged In Dist. Jail, Churu)

----Appellant

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Anil Kumar S/o Rajendra Kumar, R/o W. No. 16, Subhash Nagar, Rajgarh, Dist. Churu

----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                                                 |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Appellant(s)  | : | Mr. Vikas Bijarnia<br>Mr. Aashish Jakhar<br>Mr. Punit Choudhary |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Sharwan Singh Shekhawat                                     |

---

**HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**

**Order**

**25/02/2026**

One of the contentions raised by learned counsel for the accused-appellant is that the accused-appellant was arrayed as party in the case of assisting the others, who fired, resulting in death of Rajendra Singh. Out of Sixty-three witnesses, which are required to be examined, only twelve witnesses have been examined till date.

Learned counsel has pointed out that Anil Sharma & Mintu Modasiya @ Bintu Modasiya have been granted bail by this Court in Appeal Nos.1963/2025 & 366/2021 on 13.11.2025 and 10.06.2021 respectively. Learned counsel further submits that the persons, who were similarly situated as the appellant were also granted bail, namely Sarwan S/o Shri Kuldeep, Satish Meel @

Kaaliya S/o Rajveer, Sukhvir @ Sukha S/o Sohan Lal, Sanpat Nehra @ Balkari @ Koch S/o Shri Ram Chandra and Sandeep @ Patang and the case of the appellant cannot be differentiated from them.

Learned counsel for the appellant also submits that at the initial stage, the bail application of the appellant has been withdrawn without examining the merits of the bail application.

Having taken into consideration the aforesaid aspects and also that no recovery has been found to be made from the accused appellant and there is no specific role assigned to him, I am inclined to grant bail to the appellant.

Consequently, the appeal is allowed. The impugned order dated 07.02.2025 passed by the Special Judge, Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act Cases, Churu is set aside. It is ordered that the accused appellant **Vijendra Kumar Dhayal S/o Meer Singh Dhayal** arrested in connection with FIR No.145/2020 registered at Police Station Rajgarh, District Churu shall be released on bail; provided he furnishes a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/- and two surety bonds of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation to appear before the Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),ACJ**