

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9158/2026

Laxmi Choudhary

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan & Ors.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abhimanyu Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sajjan Singh Rathore, AAG Mr. Rajendra Singh Bhati for Mr. Shyam Singh Rathore

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

29/04/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that feeling aggrieved by transfer order dated 10.01.2026, the petitioner approached Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal by way of filing Appeal No. 125/2026, which was disposed of by the Tribunal vide order dated 30.01.2026 with directions to the petitioner to submit representation for seeking redressal against the transfer order and the respondents were directed to consider as to whether, under the circumstances, where the petitioner and her husband both are Government servants and are working with respondent-Department, to post them at the same place or nearest place. Learned counsel submits that the representation filed by the petitioner was dismissed by the respondents vide order dated 23.02.2026 in quite mechanical manner and without considering the directions given by the Tribunal in objective manner.

Feeling aggrieved, the petitioner preferred S.B. CWP No. 5730/2026 in which also, directions were given to the petitioner to

submit a representation, which was required to be decided in the light of observation given by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.03.2026.

Learned counsel submits that the second representation submitted by the petitioner in compliance of order dated 17.03.2026 has also been rejected by the respondent vide order dated 08.04.2026. Bare comparison of order deciding representation dated 23.02.2026 and 08.04.2026 would reveal that both the orders are almost similar and syclostyle. There is no application of mind as the directions given by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in order dated 17.03.2026 has also not been properly considered.

Issue notices to the respondents.

Mr. Sajjan Singh Rathore, AAG appeared on behalf of respondent-caveator Nos. 1 and 2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner shall take necessary steps to serve notices upon respondent No.4.

In the meanwhile and till further orders, the operation of transfer order dated 10.01.2026 shall remain stayed and the petitioner shall be permitted to continue her work at the same place and on the same post, on which, she was working prior to issuance of order dated 10.01.2026. However, this interim order shall not preclude the respondents from passing a fresh order of transfer in order to post both the petitioner as well as her husband either at the same place or at the nearest place, in any district irrespective of choice of district of the petitioner.

(ANAND SHARMA),J



[CW-9158/2026]