

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9129/2026

Dhalaram S/o Masara Ji, Aged About 42 Years, Meghwalo Ka Vas,
Ward No. 9, Jasol Tehsil Pachpadra, District Balotra (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Revenue Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The District Collector, Balotra, Rajasthan.
3. The Tehsildar, Tehsil Pachpadra, District Balotra, Rajasthan.
4. The Municipal Council Balotra, Through Its Commissioner, District Balotra, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sanjay Nahar
For Respondent(s)	:	----

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEET PUROHIT

Order

29/04/2026

1. Learned counsel appearing for petitioner submits that in other matters involving an identical issue, interim orders have been granted by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8110/2026 (Ishak Khan & Anr. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)*** and other connected matters.

2. Present writ petition is filed challenging order dated 23.03.2026, passed by the District Collector, Balotara and consequential orders thereto. Vide order dated 23.03.2026, it has been observed that few lands were got converted from agricultural to non-agricultural purposes unauthorizedly, hence, the concerned

Tehsildar has been directed to restore the previous position of revenue record in respect of the land in question belonging to petitioners.

3. Matter requires consideration.
4. Issue notice to respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Issue notice of stay application also, returnable within a period of four weeks.
5. Learned counsel for petitioners shall ensure to serve copy of writ petition in the office of AAG - Mr. Rajesh Panwar, who regularly appears for Respondent No. 4 - Municipal Council, Balotara, within a period of one week.
6. Name of Mr. Rajesh Panwar, AAG be shown in the cause list as counsel for respondent-Municipal Council, Balotara.
7. In the meanwhile, status quo in respect of the land in question of the revenue record and at site, as it exists today, shall be maintained. However, this interim order shall not prevent the State Authorities to continue the inquiry.
8. Respondents are at liberty to seek vacation/modification of the stay after filing of reply or in changed circumstances.

(SANJEET PUROHIT),J

2-yagya/-