

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9204/2026

1. Sohan S/o Shri Ghamanda Ram, Aged About 61 Years, Resident Of Jat Colony, Balotra (Proprietor Of Khimaj Maa Sabji Bhandar, Balotra.)
2. Mukesh Gehlot S/o Shri Ambalal, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Near Mahadev Nagar, Balotra (Proprietor Ofmadhur Fruit Bhandar, Balotra.)
3. Devilal S/o Shri Kumbha Ram, Aged About 44 Years, Resident Of Koknano Ka Dhani, Barmer (Proprietor Of Jai Bhomiya Ji Sabji Bhandar, Balotra.)
4. Parmanand S/o Gyanchand, Aged About 67 Years, Resident Of 135, Mahadev Colony, Balotra (Proprietor Of Parmanand Gyanchand Bhandra, Balotra).
5. Raghunath S/o Shri Khimaram, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Maliyo Ka Vas, Gandhipura, Balotra, (Proprietor Of Mahadev Sabji Bhandar, Balotra).
6. Pancharam S/o Shri Lumba Ram, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Hanwant Colony, Gandhipura, Balotra (Proprietor Of Maha Jagdamba Sabji Bhandar, Balotra).
7. Ramesh Kumar S/o Shri Prem Kumar, Aged About 58 Years, Resident Of Near Market, Balotra (Proprietor Of Ramesh Kumar Prem Kumar Vegetable Bhandar, Balotra).
8. Tara Devi W/o Shri Jagdish Mali, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Mali Samaj Ke Pas, Garamin Balotra (Proprietor Of New Neel Kanth Sabji Bhandar).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Agriculture, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. District Collector, Balotra.
3. Sub-Divisional Officer, District Balotra.
4. Secretary, Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, District Balotra.
5. Regional Joint Director, Agriculture Marketing Department, Jodhpur.
6. Rajasthan State Agriculture Marketing Board, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Muktesh Maheshwari  
Mr. Gourav  
Mr. Tanay Sharma  
Mr. Arpit Saxena

For Respondent(s) : -

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR**

**Order**

**29/04/2026**

After arguing the matter for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied if respondent No. 4, i.e., the Secretary, Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, District Balotra, is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 06.04.2026 submitted by the petitioners, seeking allotment of vacant shops in the new fruits and vegetable premises at Balotra.

Keeping in view the aforesaid limited prayer made at the Bar by learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to respondent No. 4, i.e., the Secretary, Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, District Balotra, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 06.04.2026, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of sixty days from today.

It is made clear that in the event the competent authority, upon consideration of the representation, does not find the petitioners eligible for allotment of the vacant shops, it shall pass a reasoned and speaking order indicating the grounds for such denial. The petitioners shall thereafter be at liberty to avail

appropriate legal remedies, in accordance with law, if they remain aggrieved by the decision so taken.

With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition as well as the stay application stand disposed of.

**(KULDEEP MATHUR),J**

15-divya/-