



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 564/2026

M/s S. Kankriya Wire And Cable And Kankriya Plasto Technique,
Through Its Manager Suresh Kumar Kankariya S/o Jawarilal G,
Aged About 58 Years, R/o Gram Desuriyan Kharioan, Khasra
No.33, Nagaur Road, Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Appellant

Versus

1. Rajasthan State Pollution Control Board, Through Its
Member Secretary, Head Office, 4, Industrial Area,
Jhalana Doongari, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. Regional Officer, Rajasthan State Pollution Control Board,
Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Respondents

For Appellant(s)	:	Ms. Taniya Mehta Ms. Riya Goswami
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S.S. Rathore, AAG Mr. Praveen Kumar

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH**

Order

08/05/2026

1. We have heard the rival contentions and perused the material
available on record including the order dated 02.02.2026 passed by the
Pollution Control Board.
2. Our attention has been drawn by learned counsel for the appellant
to the impugned order dated 02.02.2026 passed by the Rajasthan State
Pollution Control Board (RSPCB), presently sub judice before the
learned Single Judge, which records in paragraph 12 that the appellant-
Industry was found responsible for contributing to environmental



pollution and was accordingly imposed with environmental compensation for 97 days, from 16.12.2024 to 24.03.2025.

3. After the show cause notice was issued by the Board, the appellant filed a sworn affidavit dated 24.03.2025 before the Board, stating that the copper wire furnace operated by it was shut down on 12.01.2025 and subsequently demolished on 12.02.2025. This is corroborated by photographs appended to the appeal paper-book, confirming removal of the furnace and the non-operational status of the factory premises.

4. The Board has placed nothing on record either before the writ court or before us to rebut the appellant's deposition though the Board is represented in the instant appeal by learned counsel on advance service.

5. In view of the appellant's affidavit, we direct that the environmental compensation imposed by the Board shall, as an interim measure, be recalculated in light of the aforesaid facts, subject to the final outcome of the writ petition pending before the learned Judge. The appellant shall pay the recalculated amount; the balance shall remain sub judice.

6. It is further clarified that should the appellant's affidavit prove to be false, appropriate consequences shall follow, and the respondents are at liberty to move a suitable application before the learned Single Judge to that effect.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J

(ARUN MONGA),J