HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Writ Miscellaneous Application No. 232/2026

In

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.6961/2026

1. Regional Director, Regional Office, Esi Corporation, Panchdeep Bhawan, Bhawani Singh Marg, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Dy. Director, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Sub-Regional Office, At Present Address - Esi Hospital Complex, Near Pratap Nagar, Police Station, Jodhpur (Rajasthan).
3. The Assistant Director, Sub-Regional Office, Esi Hospital Complex, Near Pratap Nagar Police Station, Kamla Nehru, Jodhpur (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Purkha Ram Tak S/o Ramrakh Tak, Aged About 61 Years, R/o Khurecha, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur (Rajasthan).

----Respondents-applicant

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Akshat Verma
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA
Order

29/04/2026

Heard on application for seeking recalling of the order dated 01.04.2026 passed by this Court whereby following directions were given:-

> "In the light of above orders dated 19.01.2024 and 28.04.2025 passed by the Co-ordinate Benches of this Court, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach

> the Appellate Authority and in case, the petitioner files appeal within a period of 30 days from today, same shall be considered to be within limitation and be decided on merits after granting due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of 90 days thereafter."

It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondent-applicant that although he had instructions to appear on advance notices on behalf of the respondents, yet his name was not shown in the cause-list, therefore he could not appear on 01.04.2026 when the matter was taken up by this Court.

On specific query, learned counsel admits that no vakalatnama whatsoever was filed by learned counsel in the Registry, therefore, there was no occasion for the Registry to show name of learned counsel in the cause list as learned counsel for the respondent. It is further submitted that vide order dated 01.04.2026 passed by this Court, the matter has been disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appellate authority whereas the limitation period for filing appeal has already expired.

This Courts finds that the order dated 01.04.2026 has been passed by this Court in the light of other similar orders passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **SBCWP No.7540/2025 (Jugal Bhatia vs. Dy. Director & Anr.)** as well as the order dated 19.01.2024 passed in **SBCWP No.571/2024 (Pacific Education Society vs. Dy. Director & Ors.).**

In light of the above, there appears to be no error apparent on the face of record, hence, the application for recalling the order dated 01.04.2026 filed by the respondent-applicant is hereby dismissed.

(ANAND SHARMA),J

80-Taruna/-