

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8839/2021

Nimisha D/o Shri Praveen Kumar, Aged About 35 Years, Resident
Of Laxminath Niwas, Near Panch Mukha Temple, Ranibazar,
Bikaner.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Government
Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Revenue Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. District Collector, Bikaner.
4. Superintendent Of Police, Bikaner.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	None Present
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Aditi Sharma for Mr. NS Rajpurohit, AAG

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP SHAH**

Order(Oral)

07/05/2026

Per : Arun Monga, J.

1. Petitioner, claiming herself to be a vigilant citizen and public spirited person has filed the instant writ petition to protect the public interest seeking following relief:

"(i). By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to remove the encroachment made over the land bearing Khasra No.54 gair mumkin agor Nath Sagar Pond, Bikaner.

(ii). By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to decide the representation submitted by the petitioner.

(iii). Any other appropriate order or relief, which may serve the purpose of the present petitioner considering the facts and circumstances of this case, may also kindly be passed in favour of the present petitioner."

2. None appears for the petitioner, as was the case in the first round, and the matter was passed over. Even in the second round, the position remains the same.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the respondents and have perused the case file.

4. The respondents have filed their reply. Stand taken, *inter alia*, by the respondents in their reply is as below:-

“It is submitted that after the representation was received by the humble answering respondents, Inquiry Officer was appointed in the matter and thereafter, statement of Smt. Pushpa Devi and Nimisha Sharma were recorded. Subsequently, the respondent Authorities asked the complainant to produce the other witnesses whose names were written on the complaint, however, the Complainant denied producing them. After, the statements of witnesses were recorded, the Inquiry Officer went to the land in question, however, no such barbed wire fencing or construction of walls etc. was done on the said land. It is further submitted that already complaint under section 107/116(3) has been filed before the ADM City against Rajendra Sevag, Dinesh Sevag, Babu Sevag, Mohan Sharma & Tapan Sevag. Moreover, the Police Station, Nayashaher Bikaner is continuously monitoring the land in question.”

5. It is noteworthy that the stand taken by the respondents has remained uncontroverted, inasmuch as neither any affidavit and/or rejoinder, nor any other material, has been placed on record by the petitioner.

6. In view of the aforesaid undisputed factual position, the present writ petition is dismissed both on merits as well as lack of assistance by the petitioner.

7. All pending application(s) stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP SHAH),J

(ARUN MONGA),J