

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8910/2026

1. Basanti Mewara W/o Vijay Mewara, Aged About 38 Years,
R/o D.d.p. Nagar Madhuban Housing Board, Basni First
Phase, Jodhpur
2. Premlata Mewara W/o Lt. Kailash Chand Mewara, Aged
About 51 Years, R/o 3-V-41 Kudi Bhagtasni Housing
Board, Jodhpur

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through Its Secretary, Ministry Of
Electronics And Information Technology, Government Of
India, Electronics Niketan, 6, Cgo Complex, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi-110003
2. Secretary, Ministry Of Information And Broadcasting,
Government Of India, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Road, Shastri
Bhavan, New Delhi-110001
3. Secretary, Ministry Of Women And Child Development,
Government Of India, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chayan Bothra
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Bharat Vyas, ASG Mr. vivek Shrimali Mr. Vaibhav Bhansali

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

08/05/2026

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the present matter constitutes the second round of litigation. Earlier, the petitioners had preferred a writ petition being S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.19952/2024 before this Court, wherein this Court had passed the following order:

"1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that there are certain obscene songs

and material contents in the video uploaded on the 'YouTube' relating to the female gender of the particular community i.e. 'Kalal' community of across Rajasthan. According to the petitioner, such a contents are obscene in nature and derogatory to the female gender of 'Kalal' community located in the various parts of Rajasthan. The petitioner seems to have made a request to the YouTube to delete such obscene material, since it is obscene in nature. However, there was no response from the YouTube. Under said circumstance, the petitioner has made a representation to the Union of India, through its Secretary, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of India New Delhi i.e. respondent No.2 which is appropriate authority under Section 69A of the Information Technology Act, 2000 (hereinafter referred to as 'The Act'). In spite of such application, the Central Government is not acting upon such a representation; therefore, he filed the present writ petition.

2. Mr. Abhishek Sharma, learned counsel appears and take notice on behalf of respondents-Union of India.

3. This Court is not inclined to examine the merits of the case since a representation has already been moved under Section 69A of the Act. The Central Government required to examine such representation in terms of the various provisions provided under the Act.

4. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to examine the representation filed under Section 69A of the Act by the petitioner in terms of the Act and Rules thereunder and pass appropriate order. The said exercise shall be done within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of the copy of the present order.

5. The petitioner shall be at liberty to file all the materials before the appropriate authority to establish his case in the representation."

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, pursuant to the aforesaid directions issued by this Hon'ble Court, the petitioners submitted a representation before respondent No.2, which was thereafter forwarded to respondent No.1. However, the said representation is still pending consideration. It is, therefore, prayed that the respondents be directed to decide the said representation expeditiously.

Learned ASG submits that, if the representation is pending consideration, endeavour shall be made to decide the same expeditiously.

In view of the submissions made hereinabove, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1/respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation submitted by the petitioners within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

The stay application and all pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J