

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 1067/2025

1. Kratika Jangid D/o Shri Rajendra Jangid, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Meghwalo Ka Bas, Bilara, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Rajendra Jangid S/o Shri Dhagla Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Meghwalo Ka Bas, Bilara, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Padma Devi Spouse/o Shri Rajendra Jangid, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Meghwalo Ka Bas, Bilara, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Inspector General Of Police, Range Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
3. Superintendent Of Police, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
4. Station House Officer, Bilara Police Station, District Jodhpur Rural, Rajasthan.
5. Shyam S/o Late Shri Amra Ram, R/o Village Bala, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
6. Dinesh S/o Shri Shyam, R/o Village Bala, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
7. Nema Ram S/o Late Shri Amra Ram, R/o Village Bala, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
8. Pema Ram S/o Late Shri Amra Ram, R/o Village Bala, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
9. Dilip S/o Shri Nema Ram, R/o Village Bala, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
10. Govind S/o Shri Pema Ram, R/o Village Bala, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Saumya Choudhary for
Mr. Manvendra Singh

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Narendra Singh Chandawat, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT**Order****26/08/2025****I.A. No.1/2025:**

The matter comes up on the application seeking early hearing of the case.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the application is allowed. The matter is taken up for consideration today itself.

The petitioners have preferred this writ petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents to provide adequate security and protection to them on the ground that they are facing grave threat of life and liberty at the hands of private respondent Nos.5 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Article 21 of the Constitution of India provides for right to life, limb and personal liberty under the ambit of fundamental rights and any threat to the same amounts to violation of the same. Being the citizen of independent India, the petitioners have right to reside with honour and dignity. Therefore, they have sought the protection from the hands of private respondents and they also sought direction to respondents to provide them protection.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record of the case, this Court is neither going into the niceties of the matter as the same is concerned only about the protection of life, limb and liberty as guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

Since the petitioners apprehend threat to their right of life and liberty, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioners have every right to seek the protection of their life, limb and liberty.

In this view of the matter, the instant criminal writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the petitioners to appear before the concerned Superintendent of Police along with appropriate representation regarding their grievance. The concerned Superintendent of Police shall in turn hear the grievance of the petitioners, and after analyzing the threat perceptions, if necessitated, may pass necessary orders to provide adequate security and protection to the petitioners.

It is made clear that any observation in this order shall not affect any criminal and civil proceedings initiated against the petitioners.

Stay petition also stands disposed of.

(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J