

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

**(1) S.B. Criminal Misc. Suspension Of Sentence
Application (Appeal) No. 677/2025**

Ram Kishan S/o Punaram, Aged About 29 Years, Bajoli Police
Station Pilwa District Deedwana Kuchaman Rajasthan

----Applicant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

Connected With

**(2) S.B. Criminal Misc. Suspension Of Sentence
Application (Appeal) No. 822/2025**

Dhannaram Choyal S/o Bhanwarlal, Aged About 29 Years, R/o
Bajoli, P.s. Pilwa, Dist. Deedwana-Kuchaman, Raj. (At Present
Lodged In Ajmer Central Jail)

----Applicant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pradeep Choudhary Mr. Ashok Kumar for Mr. Kunal Bishnoi
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Prajapati, PP Mr. Piyush Chouhan for the complainant

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA BORANA

Order

05/05/2026

1. The present applications for suspension of sentences under
Section 430 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023
(Section 389 Cr.P.C.) have been preferred on behalf of the
applicants - Ram Kishan and Dhannaram Choyal who have been
convicted vide judgment and order dated 07.04.2025 passed by



learned Sessions Judge No.1, Parbatsar, District Deedwana-
Kuchaman in Sessions Case No.21/2019 and sentenced as under:

Accused – Ram Kishan			
Under Sections	Sentence	Fine	In default of payment of fine
341/34 of IPC	One months SI	Rs.500/-	Seven days SI
324/34 of IPC	Two years SI	Rs.2000/-	Two months SI
326/34 of IPC	Seven years SI	Rs.7,000/-	Seven months SI
307 of IPC	Ten years SI	Rs.15,000/-	Ten months SI

Accused – Dhannaram Choyal			
Under Sections	Sentence	Fine	In default of payment of fine
341/34 of IPC	One months SI	Rs.500/-	Seven days SI
324/34 of IPC	Two years SI	Rs.2000/-	Two months SI
326 of IPC	Seven years SI	Rs.7,000/-	Seven months SI
307 of IPC	Ten years SI	Rs.15,000/-	Ten months SI

2. Counsel for the applicants submits that the accused applicants have remained in custody for a total period of more than four years till date and hence, deserve the suspension of sentence at this stage.
3. There is a distinct allegation of the prosecution that the appellants inflicted more than three grievous injuries to the victim, one of them being dangerous to life. In that view, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the appellants on bail by suspending the sentence awarded to them.
4. Accordingly, the present applications for suspension of sentence are hence, **dismissed**.

(REKHA BORANA),J