

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Writ Miscellaneous Application No. 203/2026

Maninder Singh S/o Shri Kana Ram, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Village Narsi Nagar, Post Hadiyal, Police Station Tara Nagar, District Churu (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Joint Secretary, Department Of Home (Group-II), Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. Commandant, 10Th Battalion, Rac (I.r.), Bikaner (Raj.).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vinod Jhajharia
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

23/04/2026

1. The present civil misc. application has been filed seeking correction in the order dated 28.03.2026 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.5935/2026.
2. It is submitted that while deciding the writ petition, this Court has, in Para No.1 of the order, observed that the controversy involved is covered by the judgment rendered in the case of *Manoj Purohit Vs. State of Rajasthan (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.3665/2011 decided on 25.04.2011)*. It is further submitted that in Para No.3 of the order also, a direction has been issued to decide the representation of the petitioner in light of the judgment in *Manoj Purohit (supra)*.

3. Learned counsel submits that the aforesaid reference is the result of an inadvertent clerical error, inasmuch as the issue involved in the writ petition stood covered by the judgment rendered by the Division Bench in the case of *State of Rajasthan Vs. Ram Chandra Meena (D.B. Civil Special Appeal (Writ) No.356/2014 decided on 23.07.2015)*, which has also been noticed and reproduced in the order itself. It is, therefore, prayed that necessary correction be made in the order dated 28.03.2026.

4. I have considered the submissions made and perused the material available on record.

5. A perusal of the order dated 28.03.2026 reveals that while in Para No.1, reference has been made to the case of *Manoj Purohit (supra)*, the reasoning of the order proceeds on the basis of the judgment rendered by the Division Bench in *State of Rajasthan Vs. Ram Chandra Meena (supra)*, which has been reproduced and relied upon while deciding the controversy involved.

6. Thus, there is an apparent inconsistency between the reference made in Para No.1 and Para No.3 of the order and the reasoning actually adopted therein. The said inconsistency appears to have occurred on account of an inadvertent clerical/typographical error.

7. Since the correction sought does not entail any change in the reasoning or conclusion of the order, but is only for the purpose of bringing the reference in conformity with the judgment actually relied upon, the same deserves to be allowed.

8. Accordingly, the present civil misc. application is allowed and it is directed that in the order dated 28.03.2026 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.5935/2026:

(i) In Para No.1, the reference to "*Manoj Purohit Vs. State of Rajasthan (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.3665/2011 decided on 25.04.2011)*" shall stand substituted with "*order dated 23.07.2015 passed by the Division Bench in D.B. Civil Special Appeal (Writ) No.356/2014 (State of Rajasthan Vs. Ram Chandra Meena)*";

(ii) In Para No.3, the words "*Manoj Purohit (supra)*" shall stand substituted with "*State of Rajasthan Vs. Ram Chandra Meena (supra)*".

9. The order dated 28.03.2026 shall stand corrected accordingly. This order shall be treated as part and parcel of the order dated 28.03.2026.

(FARJAND ALI),J