

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8647/2026

1. Deepika Jain D/o Sunder Lal Jain, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Tehsil Palasiya, District Udaipur Rajasthan.
2. Kusum Vaishnav W/o Mangu Dan, Aged About 36 Years, R/o 421, Bus Stand, Jhadol, District Udaipur Rajasthan.
3. Sampat D/o Amara Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Chowkidaron Ka Vass, Bhopalgarh, District Jodhpur Rajasthan.
4. Pinky Kumari D/o Poosa Ram W/o Ramesh Nimbhel, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Plot No 41 Kiran Bhavn, Shiv Puri Tisari Pol, Mahamindar, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
5. Meera Meena D/o Nemichand Meena, Aged About 35 Years, Karori, Tehsil Sikri, District Dausa, Rajasthan.
6. Rajni Gadwal D/o Banshdahr, Aged About 38 Years, M81, Shiv Shakti Nagar, Street No 2, Outside Of 3Rd Pole Mahamandir, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
7. Sapna D/o Mahendra Kumar, Aged About 36 Years, Shivpuri, Man Sagar, Mahamindir, Dist. Jodhpur.
8. Rekha D/o Ranjeet Ingh, Aged About 36 Years, Manohar Ka Mohala, Sidhmukh, District Churu
9. Kehara Ram S/o Shri Sajan Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village Mandiya Khurd, Tehsil Tinwari, District Jodhpur.
10. Nirmla Chouhan D/o Shohan Lal Chouhan, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Mandir Wala Mohalla, Bhadwasiya, Jodhpur (Raj).
11. Abhimannu Singh S/o Surendra Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Rajput Mohalla Village Post Gudhliya Tehsil Bandikui District-Dausa(Rajasthan).
12. Sarita Pradhan D/o Om Prakash Pradhan, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of Ward No 19, Near Homeopathy Hospital, Suratgarh, District Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan.
13. Rajendra Prasad Jat S/o Ramprasad Jat, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Of Jato Ka Mohalla, Khaddho Ki Jhopdiya, Post Sureli, Tehsil Uniara, District Tonk, Rajasthan.
14. Keshar Kumari W/o Lal Chand Kumhar, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Of In Front Of Post Office, Ward No 6, Vpo

Diyatra, Tehsil Kolayat, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

15. Meera Kumari Lamrod W/o Ramkunwar Khileri, Aged About 35 Years, Resident Of Khileriyo Ki Dhani, Kurki, Tehsil Jaitaran, District Beawar, Rajasthan.
16. Amit Kumar Yadav S/o Vijay Singh, Aged About 37 Years, Resident Of Village Gokalpur, Post Joria, Tehsil Kotkasim, District Khairthan-Tijara, Rajasthan.
17. Kavita Meerwal D/o Ramavtar Meerwal, Aged About 36 Years, Residing At House No 39B, Dineh Kumar Bairwa, House, Shiv Shakti Nagar-A, Mansarovar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
18. Anita Choudhary D/o Kailash Chandra, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Bhatti Ki Bawari Near Jogmaya Mandir Village Post Nandanwan Chb Dist Jodhpur(Raj).
19. Khushboo D/o Hanuman Prasad, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Ward No 8, Chak Ganeshgarh With Dungarsinghpura, Sri Ganganagar District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, District Udaipur, Rajasthan.
4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
5. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Phalodi, Rajasthan.
6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Dausa, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Gulab Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR**Order****05/05/2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon the dictum encapsulated in D.B. S.A.W. No.293/2020 titled "The State of Rajasthan & Ors. Versus Dal Chand Jat" and has submitted that the appeal preferred by the State has been dismissed therein inter alia similar issues and the same has been decided in favor of similar situated persons as that of the petitioners. It is submitted that the respondents are not considering the representation filed by the petitioners and declining the grant of equivalent benefits.
2. Heard the submissions put forth.
3. In light of facts and circumstances of the instant case, this Court directs that if an appropriate representation has been filed by the petitioners, within a period of fortnight of date of passing this order, the same shall accordingly be dealt by the respondents and shall be considered by way of a speaking order, strictly in accordance with law, in light of ***Pawan Meena vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (SBCWP No. 1665/2024; Neutral Citation: 2024:RJ-JP:5792)***.
4. In light of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of.
5. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J