

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7792/2026

Smt. Lalita Vaishnav D/o Govind Das, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Khejari Ka Was V/p Siwana, Tehsil Siwana, Barmer Rajasthan - 344044

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary Government Department Of Higher Education, Govt. Of Rajasthan Secretariat Jaipur.
2. Principal Secretary To The Government, Minority Affairs Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan Jaipur.
3. Geetanjali Shikshan Sansthan, Borawad Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur Through Its Secretary Lal Mohammad Khan S/o Saroj Ahmad Aged About 50 Years, R/o Deswadi Mohallad, Borawad Tehsil Makrana District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Gajendra Panwar

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA
Order

22/04/2026

1. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition with following prayer:

"It is, therefore, most humbly and respectfully prayed that by an appropriate writ, order or direction-

A. Issue writ of mandamus directing the respondents authorities to prepare fresh list of candidates in compliance of Supreme Court judgment dated 28.01.2026.

B. Direct the respondents consider the petitioner for the appointment if she falls between last candidate appointment from first list and widow the cut off of the revised list dated 28.01.2026.

C. Direct the respondent to complete the exercise within a specific time bound.

D. Any other appropriate writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to consider just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly also be passed in favour of the petitioner. "

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the light of order dated 28.01.2026 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **The State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Namonarayan Sharma & Ors. (SLP (Civil) Nos. 13102-13109/2020)**, the respondents have granted benefit to the candidates who are lesser in merit than the petitioner. However, only on account of the fact that the petitioner failed to join the proceedings before Hon'ble Supreme Court on account of financial constraints, the respondents are not considering the candidature of the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has submitted representation before the respondents as well as before the Registrar of Hon'ble Supreme Court, yet no relief whatsoever has been granted to the petitioner.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

4. While deciding the case of **The State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Namonarayan Sharma & Ors.**, in para 19, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:

*"19. This decision is in the peculiar facts relying the concessions of the State Government in the case of **Mukesh Kumar Tailor (supra)**, therefore, it would be confined to the respondents and the impleaders/intervenors who have approached this Court on or before this order. No further avenue would remain open to any of the candidate."*

5. In view of the fact that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has confined the benefit of judgment in the case of **Mukesh Kumar**

Tailor only in respect of respondents in the SLP as well as to the impleaders/intervenors who approach the Hon'ble Supreme Court on or before order dated 28.01.2026, and on account of observation that no further avenue would remain open to any of the candidates, there is no scope of interference made by this Court in the present writ petition.

6. Hence, in view of above, the writ petition filed by the petitioner is hereby, dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J