

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2512/2026

1. Rukhi Devi W/o Shri Tola Ram, Aged About 66 Years, R/o Binjhansar Ki Dhani, Binjhansar, Police Station Sridungargarh, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
2. Chainda Devi W/o Shri Babulal, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Sardarsahar, Police Station Sardarsahar, District, Churu , Rajasthan.
3. Lali Devi W/o Shri Kailash, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Sardarsahar, Police Station Sardarsahar, District, Churu , Rajasthan.
4. Tola Ram S/o Shri Rukha Ram, Aged About 69 Years, R/o Binjhansar Ki Dhani, Binjhansar, Police Station Sridungargarh, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. Pappu Ram Meghwal S/o Shri Tola Ram, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Ward No. 4, Binjhansar, Police Station Sridungargarh, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.
6. Hari Ram S/o Shri Tola Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Binjhansar Ki Dhani, Binjhansar, Police Station Sridungargarh, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor
2. Anmolratan W/o Shri Hari Ram, Aged About 247 Years, D/o Shri Rupa Ram R/o Binjhansar Ki Dhani, Binjhansar, Police Station Sridungargarh, District Bikaner, Rajasthan, Presently Residing At Ward No. 14, Manak Tibbi, Police Station Tibbi, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	: Mr. Manish Kumar Bhargaw
For Respondent(s)	: Mr. Vikram Singh Rajpurohit, PP Mr. Kuldeep Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL
Order

05/05/2026

1. The present Criminal Misc. Petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 for quashing the whole proceeding, pertaining to FIR No.37/2026 dated 06.02.2026 (hereinafter 'FIR in question')registered at Police Station Tibbi, District

Hanumangarh for the offences under Sections 85, 316(2) and 115(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. Brief facts of the case as unfolded are that the complainant had earlier lodged an FIR No. 263/2025 dated 02.06.2025 at the same police station; however, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties, a negative final report was submitted, following which she resumed cohabitation with petitioner No. 6. On 02.01.2026, she was allegedly driven out of the matrimonial home for the reason linked to unmet dowry demands. Consequently, on 06.02.2026 the FIR in question was lodged on the same allegation as in FIR No.263/2025. Hence, this petition has been filed for quashing of the FIR in question and all consequential proceedings before this Hon'ble Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that earlier an FIR was lodged on the same set of allegation. The proceedings in the said FIR stood culminated based on a compromise arrived at between the parties.

3.4. He submits that as per the compromise, the respondent agreed to reside with the present petitioner. Later respondent backed out from the compromise. In these circumstances petitioner No.6 filed application under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. Soon thereafter, as a counter the FIR in question was lodged with the same set of allegations as in FIR No.263/2025.

5. Considering the submissions made above, issue notice. Issue notice of stay application also.

6. Notices need not be issued as the parties are already represented by respective counsels.

7. Meanwhile, further proceedings in relation to FIR No. 37/2026 registered at Police Station Tibbi, District, Hanumangarh shall remain stayed.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

1-AbhishekK/-