

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7057/2026

Gajendra Singh S/o Ranveer Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o
812 Kankaniyo Ka Mohalla Tehsil Jayal Nagaur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Panchayati Raj
Department, Jaipur Rajasthan.
2. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Jayal District
Nagaur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Akshay Shukla Mr. Laxminarayan Sharma Mr. Ajeet Singh Mr. Devkishan
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

28/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition has been filed with following
prayers:-

*"(1) By an appropriate writ, order or direction,
the impugned order dated 14.09.2024
(Annex.4) passed by the respondent may kindly
be declared illegal and accordingly be quashed
and set aside.*

*(2) By an appropriate writ, order of direction,
the respondent authority may also kindly be
directed to continue to allow the petitioner to
work on the post of computer operator.*

*(3) Any other order of relief which this Hon'ble
court deems fit and proper may also be passed
in favour of the petitioner."*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if his representation would be considered by the respondent-authorities which he is ready and willing to address within two weeks hereinafter.
3. In view of the limited prayer addressed; the instant writ stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to address a comprehensive representation to the respondents ventilating his grievances.
4. In case, a representation is so addressed within the aforesaid period, the State-respondents are directed to consider and decide the same by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of the representation along with a certified copy of this order.
5. With these observations and directions, as indicated above, the writ petition as well as stay petition is disposed of.
6. Nothing would preclude the petitioner from approaching this Court if his grievance would still persist.

(FARJAND ALI),J