

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3808/2026

Prabhu Ram S/o Jagdish, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Davlo Ka Bas, Khariya Neev, Police Station Sojatcity, Tehsil Sojat District Pali. (At Present Lodged In Dist. Jail Pali)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Avinash Bhati
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Prajapati, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANEESH SHARMA

Order

29/04/2026

1. The present bail application has been preferred under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023, on behalf of the petitioner. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	309/2025
2.	Concerned Police Station	Sojat City
3.	District	Pali
4.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Under Sections 318(4), 316(2) and 316(4) of B.N.S., 2023

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case, has not committed any offences as narrated in the FIR and the present FIR is a result of a dispute between the petitioner and his employer. He further submits that there is an unexplained delay in lodging of the FIR

and that a sum of Rs. 65,170/- out of the alleged siphoned amount of Rs. 3,05,379/- has already been deposited prior to lodging of the FIR. He further submits that the petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents and all the offence(s) as alleged in the FIR are triable by Magistrate. He lastly submits that the petitioner is in custody since 12.03.2026, and the trial of the case will take long time to conclude; he accordingly prayed that the bail application of the petitioner may kindly be allowed.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that the benefit of bail may not be granted to the petitioner.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, more particularly that the petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents; all the offence(s) alleged in the FIR are triable by Magistrate; investigation in the matter is on the brink of completion; a sum of Rs. 65,170/- out of the alleged siphoned amount of Rs. 3,05,379/- has already been deposited by the petitioner prior to lodging of the FIR; the petitioner is in judicial custody since 12.03.2026 and there is high probability that the trial of the case will take considerable time to conclude, therefore, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the present matter.

6. Accordingly, this bail application filed under Section 483 B.N.S.S., 2023 is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-**Prabhu Ram S/o Jagdish**, shall be enlarged on bail, if not

wanted in any other case, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. The petitioner shall also not tamper with any evidence nor would he directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer.

8. It is made it clear that the observations made hereinabove are only for deciding the present bail application without commenting on the merits/de-merits of the case, and shall not influence the trial of the case in any manner.

(MANEESH SHARMA),J

27-simran/-