

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6918/2026

1. Sanwla Ram Suthar S/o Hansa Ram Suthar, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Vpo Guda Hema, Sanchore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
2. Hanja Ram Suthar S/o Java Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o V/p Chatwara, Tehsil Raniwara, District Jalore, Rajasthan.
3. Shri Ram S/o Bachchu Singh, Aged About 48 Years, R/o A-312, Jhawhar Nagar, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
4. Rakesh Kumar Saini S/o Nagar Mal, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Post Adarsh Nagar (Bagar), District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.
5. Madhu Choudhary D/o Rajendra Singh Choudhary, Aged About 41 Years, R/o A 312 Jawahar Nagar, District Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
6. Suresh Kumar S/o Ramkumar Gurjar, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Village Mousampur Kankra, Post Mohammadpur, Tehsil Behror, District Kotputli - Behror, Rajasthan.
7. Chiranji Lal Jogi S/o Ram Lal Jogi, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Vpo Chawndeda, Tehsil And District Dausa, Rajasthan.
8. Mohani D/o Mangal Chand, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Hp Petrol Pump Ke Samane, Dadiya, District Sikar, Rajasthan.
9. Mukesh Kumar Jat S/o Narayan Lal Jat, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Chithwari Mod, Putkya Ki Dhari Via Morija, Tehsil Chomu, Distruct Jaipur, Rajasthan.
10. Seema Vyas D/o Prahlad Chandra Vyas, Aged About 49 Years, R/o V/p Sadar Bazar Jaliya - Ii Via Vijay Nagar, District Byawar, Rajasthan.
11. Sheilesh Kumar Asopa S/o Susheel Kumar Asopa, Aged About 48 Years, R/o G-295 Azad Nagar, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
12. Mukesh Jat S/o Phool Chand Jat, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Mandma, Tehsil Paota, District Kotputli-Behror, Rajasthan.
13. Ramraj Meena S/o Hira Lal Meena, Aged About 41 Years,

R/o Village Odiya Khera, Post Jamoli, Tehsil Jahazpur,
District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

14. Mahesh Kumar Sharma S/o Rambharoshi Sharma, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village Post Jamoli Jahazpur, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
15. Bhagwan Sahai S/o Tolu Ram Sahai, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Baharampur, Tehsil Kathumar, District Alwar, Rajasthan.
16. Satyendra Singh S/o Lakhan Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o 65 Gurjar Basti, Tehsil Siroli, District Dholpur, Rajasthan.
17. Seema Devi D/o Lal Singh, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Village Baharavati, Post Kolari, Tehsil Saipau, District Dholpur, Rajasthan.
18. Ramesh Chand S/o Raghunath Prasad, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Vpo Morda, Tehsil Todabhim, District Karauli, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary-Cum-Commissioner, Panchayati Raj, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jalore.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bhilwara.
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Dholpur.
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Ajmer.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ram Pratap Saini
Mr. Hans Raj Nimbar

For Respondent(s) : -

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

28/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the controversy involved in this writ petition is squarely covered by the order dated 27.02.2026 passed by the co-ordinate bench of this Court at Jaipur Bench in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3907/2026 (Hanif Mohammed Pathan Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)** which has been decided placing reliance upon the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in the case of **State of Rajasthan & Ors. Vs. Namonarayan Sharma & Ors. (2026 INSC 179)**.

2. Considering the grievance raised herein and in the light of the judgment referred to (supra), the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondent-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case of **State of Rajasthan and Ors. Vs. Namon Narayan Sharma (supra)**, but in case of deviation, with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 60 days from date of receipt of representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioner has provided E-mail in his representation. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he has a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

3. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioner, then same benefits be extended to the petitioner.



4. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(FARJAND ALI),J

71-poojatak/-