

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6828/2026

Parikshit Jain S/o Paras Kumar Jain, Aged About 48 Years, R/o 1-H-15, Old Housing Board, Shastri Nagar, Bhilwara (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Local Self Government, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Director And Joint Secretary, Directorate Of Local Bodies, Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The District Collector-Cum-Chairman, District Level Executive Committee, Day-Nulm, Bhilwara.
4. The Project Director, Directors Of Local Self Department, Jaipur.
5. The Principal Secretary, Day-Nulm, State Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
6. Union Of India, Through Its Sectary, Ministry Of Housing And Urban Affairs, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.
7. The Chairman, Municipal Corporation, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara.
8. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Singh Bhawla
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI
Order

27/03/2026

1. At the request of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the matter has been taken up and heard for final disposal at the admission stage.
2. The limited grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner has been working as District Project Manger with the respondents and a fixed remuneration was decided to be paid in terms of the conditions of the appointment order. He discharged his duties with the respondents for the period from 01.10.2024 to till date. He

has claimed payment of remuneration total Rs. 35,000/- per month as fixed under the terms and conditions of the appointment order. However, such a payment was not made by the respondents. In this regard, he has already moved a representation to the respondents claiming payment of arrears of salary/remuneration in terms of the appointment order.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds that the respondents have no justification to deny the payment of due remuneration to the petitioner for which he is otherwise entitled. Since the petitioner is claiming due remuneration in terms of the conditions of the appointment order, therefore, he has filed a representation in this regard. The respondents have not taken any decision on the said representation. They cannot sit over the representation when the payment of arrears of salary/remuneration issue is involved.

4. In such circumstances, the instant writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 15 days from the date of this order. If any such arrears are determined while disposing of the representation of the petitioner, such amount shall be paid to the petitioner within a period of one month after such decision.

5. All the pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(FARJAND ALI),J