

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6781/2026

Bhajan Ram S/o Shaitan Ram, Aged About 26 Years, R/o C/o
Main Airforce No. 1, Jamnagar, Gujrat, Parmanent Address Sukh
Sagar Daya Kor, Dist. Jodhpur, Rajasthan, (Present Dist. Phalodi)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India, Through The Secretary, Finance
Department, Secretariat, New Delhi.
2. The Branch Manager, IndusInd Bank Branch, Phalodi Dist.
Phalodi, Rajasthan.
3. The Branch Manager, IndusInd Bank Branch, Royal Heigh,
Beside TVS Showroom, Aerodrom Road Khodiyar Colony,
Jamnagar, Gujrat.
4. SHO, Cyber Crime Police Station, M G Road
Chikkaballapura Town, Karnataka.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ramniwas Haniya

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

27/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution
of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following
reliefs:-

*"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present Writ
Petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate,
order or direction-*

*(i) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the
respondent may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank
account bearing Account Number 159602767929. IFSC
Code-INDB0001939 of the Petitioner in Respondent
Indusind Bank Branch Khodiyar Colony Jamnagar.*

*(ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the
respondent may kindly be directed to not freeze the bank
account of the petitioner in future without prior notice.*

*(iii) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court
deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favor of
the petitioner."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the IndusInd Bank (Respondents No.2 & 3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J