

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6751/2026

Jogendra Kumar S/o Rana Ram, Aged About 23 Years, R/o 218,
Uit Colony Shobhawato Ki Dhani Jodhpur Nandanwan Jodhpur
342008, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary,
Department Of Finance, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Director,
Jaipur.
3. AU Small Finance Bank, Through Its Branch Manager,
Branch Housing Board Ifsc Code - Aubl0002477 Jodhpur
Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Naresh Kumar

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

27/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the present Writ Petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate, order or direction-

11. (i) Issue a writ of mandamus or any appropriate direction to the respondent-bank to defreeze and restore operational access to the petitioner's Bank AU Digital Saving Account bearing No. 2301247750803327 with AU Small finance Bank, IFSC CODE AUBL0002477, Branch-Housing Board Jodhpur Rajasthan (Annexure-2)

(ii) Declare the action of freezing the account as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional, being violative of the petitioner's fundamental and statutory rights.

(iii) Direct the respondents not to freeze or restrict the petitioner's account in future except in strict compliance with statutory provisions and only upon due notice to the petitioner;

(iv) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the AU Small Finance Bank (Respondent No.3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J