

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6796/2026

Shakuntla D/o Shri Krishan Kumar Khati, Aged About 36 Years,
R/o H 36/2 Shankar Nagar, Hanumangarh Junction, District
Hanumangarh, Rajasthan. Presently Posted As School Lecturer
Geography.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department
Of Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur,
Rajasthan.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, District
Bikaner, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. ML Deora
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Bhupesh Charan for Mr. NK Mehta, Dy.G.C.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Order

27/03/2026

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of both the parties jointly submit that the controversy involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the order dated 12.01.2026 as modified on 20.01.2026 passed in S.B. Writ Misc. Application No.37/2026: ***Jitendra Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.***

2. In view of the aforesaid, the present writ petition is also allowed in the same terms as in Jitendra Kumar's case (supra) and the order dated 29.08.2023 (Annexure-7) and 30.10.2017 (Annexure - 8) restricting/denying the pay fixation, seniority,

service benefits and notional benefits of the petitioner as granted to lower meritorious for the post of School Lecturer (Geography) in pursuance of the advertisement dated 16.10.2015 (Annexure – 1) are set aside and the relevant Rule of the Rajasthan Civil Services (Revised) Pay) Rules, 2017, which creates an anomaly in the grant of increment and consequential fixation of pay scale on account of different joining times in the same recruitment, has to be read as the common date of the candidates selected in the same recruitment, ignoring the date of joining, and granting uniform increments and relevant pay scales without discriminating among persons in the same recruitment.

3. Stay petition stands disposed of.

4. It is directed that the respondents shall examine whether the case of the petitioner is covered by the judgment relied upon and, only upon verifying and satisfying itself; shall also be granted to the petitioner the benefit thereof in accordance with law; else, a reasoned and speaking order shall be passed, leaving it open for the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

(FARJAND ALI),J