

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6571/2026

Jeewan Singh S/o Shri Fateh Singh Devra, Aged About 31 Years,
R/o 530, Madhufala, Dabok, District Udaipur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, P.p. Through Director General Of Police, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. S.h.o. Cyber Crime Cell, District Udaipur (Raj.).
3. The Superintendent Of Police, District Udaipur (Raj.).
4. Branch Manager / Nodal Officer, Icici Bank, Udaipur Udaipole Branch, Building No. 1F, Bus Stand Road, District Udaipur (Raj.).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ojas Shakdwipeeya
Mr. Himanshu Soni

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

25/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"It is therefore, most humbly, most humbly and respectfully prayed that this Civil Writ Petition may kindly be allowed.

a. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank Account number 088305002006 of the petitioner.

b. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be restrained from freezing the account of the petitioners in the future without providing any opportunity of hearing and without any just and reasonable evidence.

c. By an appropriate order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to restrain from taking illegal action against the petitioners.

d. Cost of the writ petition may kindly be awarded in favour of the petitioner..”

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the ICICI Bank (Respondent No.4) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

20-himanshu/-