

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6619/2026

Bharunath Mobile Center, Through Proprietor Sorabh Dave S/o
Shri Vijay Dave, Age About 33 Years, R/o Salera Kalan, District-
Udaipur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, P.p. Through Director General Of
Police, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. S.HO, Cyber Crime Cell, District Udaipur (Raj.).
3. The Superintendent Of Police, District Udaipur (Raj.).
4. Branch Manager/nodal Officer, Punjab National Bank, Sant
Teresa Asram Branch, Sant Teresa Asram, University
Road, District- Udaipur (Raj).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Himanshu Soni
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR
Order

25/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution
of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following
reliefs:-

*"It is therefore, most humbly, most humbly and respectfully
prayed that this Civil Writ Petition may kindly be allowed.
a. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent
may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank Account number
4721005500000046 of the petitioner.
b. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents
may kindly be restrained from freezing the account of the
petitioners in the future without providing any opportunity of
hearing and without any just and reasonable evidence.
c. By an appropriate order or direction, the respondents may
kindly be directed to restrain from taking illegal action against
the petitioners.
d. Cost of the writ petition may kindly be awarded in favour of
the petitioner."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case,
this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the Punjab National Bank (Respondent No. 4) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from its bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J