

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6567/2026

Balaji Agro Agency, Through Its Proprietor Nihal Singh S/o
Jagdish Prashad Aged About 30 R/o Ward No. 3 Ratanpura 1
Rpm Nohar Hanumangarh

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Regional Manager,
Reserve Bank Of India - Jaipur Branch, Rambagh Circle,
Tonk Road, Jaipur.
2. Au Small Finance Bank, Through Manager Branch Of
Nohar Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manoj Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	--

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

25/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner firm.

ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be restrained from freezing the account name balaji agro agency current account number 2021228428472136 IFSC code -AUBL0002284 in AU SMALL FINANCE BANK branch OF nohar hanumangarh raj. of the petitioner in the future without providing any opportunity of hearing and without any just reason and reasonable evidence.

iii) by an appropriate writ, order or direction, where there is only a particular amount of Rs. 13976 was disputed amount and bank has provided

*acknowledgment 31104240050011 and
RRN_UTR_NUMBER 409410829135 to the petitioner and
in the above disputed amount, no any further
investigation and no response by investigation officer,
hence without causing any further delay firm account
may be defreeze.”*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the AU Small Finance Bank (Respondent No.2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

19-himanshu/-