HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6667/2026

Suresh Prajapat S/o Narayan Ram, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Rto Link Road, Badwasiya Road, Jodhpur (Raj)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Director Having Its Office At Rambagh Circle Tonk Road Jaipur (Raj).
2. HDFC Bank Ltd., Through Its Branch Manager, Khokhariya Branch, Plot No. 09, Khasra No. 191, Banar Road, Khokhariya, Jodhpur (Raj).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Suresh Khadav

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

27/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"A. It is, therefore, most respectfully and humbly prayed that your lordship may graciously be pleased to accept and allow this writ petition and:*
> *B. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents bank may be directed to remove the freeze put upon the petitioner's bank account bearing No.50100686917726 being maintained with Respondent Bank's branch Khokhariya, Johdpur.*
> *C. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may be directed to remove the freeze on the bank account and money of the petitioner.*
> *D. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondents may kindly be restricted from putting the bank account of the petitioner under freeze in future without any just reason and reasonable evidence against the petitioner of being involved in any cyber fraudulent activity.*
> *E. Any other order which this hon'ble court deems just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may also be passed in favour of the petitioner*
> *F. The cost of the writ petition may kindly be awarded to the petitioner.."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the HDFC Bank (Respondent No.2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

36-Arun/-