

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous IInd Bail Application No. 3123/2026
Shri M.S. Matthew S/o Shri M. A. Sebastian, Aged About 67
Years, Residing At Gokul Dham, Garh Road, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh Currently Ward No. 4,srikaranpur, District
Sriganganagar, Rajasthan. (Lodged In Upkaaragraha Srikaranpur
Jail)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3747/2026
Shri Baljinder Singh Khosa S/o Shri Veer Singh, Aged About 40
Years, Residing At 16S, Currently Ward No.24, Devtha Colony,
Srikaranpur, District Sriganganagar, Rajasthan. (Lodged In
Upkaaragraha Srikaranpur Jail)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Mohan Raj, D.A., through V.C. Mr. Aneese Mohanraj, through V.C. Mr. Chandan Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shrawan Singh Rathore, Dy.G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL
Order

27/03/2026

1. These second bail applications have been filed by the
petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS (old Section 439 of Cr.P.C.).
The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	290/2025
2.	Date of lodging FIR	19.12.2025
3.	Concerned Police Station	Shri Karanpur
4.	District	Sriganganagar
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 223(b) of the BNS-2023, Sections 3

		& 5 of the RPUCR Act, 2025 and Section 14-A of the Foreigners Act, 1946
6.	Offences added, if any	--

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present second bail applications have been preferred in view of the liberty granted by this Court while dismissing the first bail application, being S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No.881/2026. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against them.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the investigation has been concluded and the challan has been filed. It is also submitted that no recovery remains to be effected from the petitioners and the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. Petitioner M.S. Matthew is stated to be aged about 67 years. The petitioners are in judicial custody since 23.12.2025 and the trial will take sufficiently long time, therefore, they deserve to be enlarged on bail.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes this bail application and submits that looking to the seriousness of the allegations against the petitioners, they do not deserve to be enlarged on bail.
4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and after perusing the challan papers so also the fact that no recovery remains to be effected; the

petitioners are in judicial custody since 23.12.2025 and they have no criminal antecedents, this Court is of the considered opinion that no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars for an indefinite period as the trial will take sufficiently long time. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail applications filed under Section 483 of BNSS are allowed and it is ordered that petitioners- **Shri M.S. Matthew S/o Shri M. A. Sebastian** and **Shri Baljinder Singh Khosa S/o Shri Veer Singh**, shall be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR; provided they execute personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for their appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. It is however, made clear that findings recorded/observations made above are for limited purposes of adjudication of bail application. The trial court shall not get prejudiced by the same.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J