

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6535/2026

Purusotam Panchariya S/o Manoj Kumar Panchariya, Aged About
35 Years, Resident Of Hanuman Nagar, Village Shribalaji, District
Nagaur, Rajasthan, Pin - 341029.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department
Of Home, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The State Bank Of India, Through Branch Manager,
Shribalaji Branch, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Vikas Joshi

For Respondent(s) : -

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

25/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution
of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following
reliefs:-

*"It is, therefore, most humbly and respectfully prayed
that this writ petition may kindly be allowed and:*

*(i) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the
respondents may kindly be directed to forthwith remove
the hold/freeze on the State Bank of India account
bearing Account No. 51106925654 of the petitioner at
Shribalaji Branch, District Nagaur, and to restore the free
and unencumbered operation of the said account.*

*(ii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the
respondents may be directed not to place any hold/freeze
on the bank account of the petitioner in future without
first issuing notice to the petitioner and without following
due procedure of law.*

*(iii) By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the
respondents may be directed to take appropriate action
against the concerned officials responsible for the
arbitrary and illegal hold/freeze placed on the petitioner's
account.*

*(iv) Any other appropriate writ, order or direction which
this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be
passed in favourof the petitioner"*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent No.2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J