HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6693/2026

Marshal Sehgal S/o Shri Harish Sehgal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o D-11, Ground Floor, Dilshad Colony, Jhilmil, Delhi.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Station House Officer (Sho), Cyber Crime Police Station, Buhana, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.
3. The Branch Manager, Axis Bank, Branch - Dilshad Colony, New Delhi.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sunil Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

28/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"
In view of the facts and circumstances stated hereinabove, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:,-
a) by an appropriate writ, order or direction, this writ petition may kindly be allowed and direct the Respondent No.2 to de-freeze the petitioner's bank account bearing Savings Account No. 917010051385101 with Axis Bank, Branch Dilshad Colony, New Delhi.
b) By an appropriate writ, order or directions, the respondents may kindly be directed to not freeze the bank account of the petitioner in future without prior notice.
c) Any other appropriate order or direction, which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper, be passed in favour of the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition

with a direction to the Axis Bank (Respondent No.3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

95-sonia/-