

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6923/2026

1. Surendra Prasad S/o Shri Hari Vallabh Vyas, Aged About 59 Years, R/o 37,b Shri Ram Marg Masuriya, Jodhpur.
2. Lajwant Kumar Bhati S/o Shri Balu Ram Bhati, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Magra Punjla Jodhpur
3. Radheyshyam Dadhich S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal Dadhich, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Plot No 11, Flat No. G 2 Adeshwar Nagar, Near Ayushi Aangan, Pal Road Jodhpur.
4. Arvind S/o Shri Satish Kumar, Aged About 52 Years, R/o 125, Hari Nagar, Near Pf Office, Choupasni Housing Board Jodhpur
5. Santosh Purohit W/o Vipin Purohit, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Purohiton Ki Pol Gundi Mohalla, Navchowkiya, Jodhpur
6. Madan Lal Punar S/o Shri Bheekha Ram Punar, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Village Post Bhatinda Dist. Jodhpur.
7. Pawan Kumar Purohit S/o Shri Madan Lal Purohit, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Ward No 29, Kalubass, Shri Dungar Garh, Bikaner.
8. Surendra Sagar Sharma S/o Shri Premsagar Sharma, Aged About 57 Years, R/o G 215, Shastrinagar, Jodhpur.
9. Abhishek Bhandari S/o Shri Shanti Mal Bhandari, Aged About 44 Years, R/o 18/610 Chopsani Housing Board, Jodhpur
10. Laxman Singh Bhati S/o Shri Mag Singh, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 94, Bhatiyo Ka Rawala, Manakalo, Jodhpur.
11. Rakesh Bohra S/o Shri Ram Chandra Bohra, Aged About 44 Years, R/o 5 D 5 Chopsani Housing Board, Jodhpur.
12. Laxman Singh Kheechee S/o Shri Ram Singh Kheechee, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Plot No 72, Khasra No. 91, Laxman Nagar B , Near Ion Digital, Dp Choraha, Nandari, Banar, Jodhpur.
13. Samta Jalutharia W/o Parmendra Kumar Jalutharia, Aged About 53 Years, R/o 7 B Subhash Colony, Defence Lab Road, Ratanada, Jodhpur.
14. Rajendra S/o Shri Narendra Singh, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Parihar Sadan, Naya Bass, Pali Bazar, Mahamandir,

Jodhpur.

15. Anjalil Mathur W/o Shri Deepesh Mathur, Aged About 39 Years, R/o 149, Pawan Vihar Yojana, Opposite B.r. Birla School , Jodhpur.
16. Lalit Kumar Solanki S/o Shri Sohan Raj Solanki, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Rupawato Ka Bera, Kaliberi, Soorsagar, Jodhpur.
17. Shiv Singh Chouhan S/o Shri Bhanwar Singh Chouhan, Aged About 45 Years, R/ob 105, Jagdamba Colony, Behind Mahesh Hostel, Bombay Motor, Chopasani Road, Jodhpur.
18. Mukesh Sankhla S/o Shri Dinesh Kumar Sankhla, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Ajay Bagar, Nagori Gate Road, Jodhpur.
19. Yashwant Raj Jain S/o Shri Sampat Raj, Aged About 42 Years, R/o 53, Adeshwar Nagar, B.r. Birla School Ke Samnee, Anmol Township, Jodhpur.
20. Sunita Dave Shri Jugal Kishore Dave, R/o Paota C Road, Forth Lane Jodhpur
21. Naveen Kumar Parihar S/o Shri Laxmi Narayan Parihar, Aged About 45 Years, R/o 320,b Gajanand Chowk, Near Baba Ramdev Mandir Jodhpur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Health Secretary, Department Of Health, Swasthya Bhawan Tilak Marg C Scheme Jaipur Rajasthan.
2. Managing Director, National Health Mission, Rajasthan, Swasthya Bhawan Tilak Marg C Scheme Jaipur Rajasthan.
3. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Jodhpur (Raj)
4. Joint Director, Medical And Health Services, Jodhpur (Raj).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Anand Joshi, Sr. Advocate assisted by Mr. Yash Tripathi and Mr. Shivam Harsh

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

20/04/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that all the petitioners were appointed pursuant to an advertisement issued by the State Institute of Health and Family Welfare, Rajasthan, and have been serving the respondents for more than fifteen years.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that, despite repeated requests made by the petitioners from time to time, their cases have not been considered for regularization.

Learned counsel for the petitioners relied upon the judgment passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at the Jaipur Bench in **SBCWP No. 13016/2011 (*Satu Lal vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.*)**, decided on 06.01.2026.

The matter requires consideration.

Issue notices to the respondents, returnable within six weeks.

In the meanwhile, and till further orders, in case the petitioners are still working, their services shall not be terminated by the respondents.

(ANAND SHARMA),J