

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6465/2026

Javed Ali Tanwar S/o Liyakat Ali Tanwar, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Near Mahila Jagrati School, Sadul Gang, Bikaner.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan.
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government Of Rajasthan.
3. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Local Self Department, Government Of Rajasthan.
4. Nodal Officer In Superintendent Engineer (Litigation) Additional Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
5. Secretary, Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Durgapura, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Nikhil Dungawat
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

27/04/2026

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that while issuing the advertisement dated 26.11.2024, although department-wise vacancies were specified, all candidates were required to submit their preference for departments in the online application form.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, after completion of the recruitment process, a common select list has been prepared, which has created confusion regarding the allotment of specific departments to the selected candidates. It is

further submitted that a situation may arise where a candidate has opted for two or more departments, which may cause prejudice to other candidates. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that, due to such an anomalous situation, the possibility cannot be ruled out that a candidate selected for more than one department may cause inconvenience to others in the allotment of a particular department. It is additionally submitted that the petitioner submitted a representation dated 31.01.2026, which remains unaddressed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if liberty is granted to him to submit a fresh representation before the competent authority for the redressal of his grievances.

4. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to submit his representation before the competent authority, who shall consider and decide the same strictly in accordance with law within thirty days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J