

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6500/2026

Rana Ram Sharma S/o Shri Ukaram Sharma, Aged About 30
Years, R/o Brahmano Ka Bass, Khara Mahechan, Sindhari,
District Barmer, Rajasthan

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Governor, Central
Office, Shahid Bhagat Singh Marg, Fort, Mumbai
2. State Bank Of India, Mandore Mandi Branch, Jodhpur,
Rajasthan Through Branch Manager.
3. Director General Of Police, State Cyber Crime Cell, Jaipur,
Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Shashi Vaishnav
Mr. Gaurab Deora

For Respondent(s) : -

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR
Order

25/03/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution
of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following
reliefs:-

*"It is, therefore, most humbly and respectfully prayed
that this writ petition may kindly be allowed and by an
appropriate writ, order or direction:*

*i. That by an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct
the respondent bank to remove the hold from the
petitioner's bank account, bearing account number
61212319148.*

*ii. By an appropriate writ, order and direction, direct the
respondent bank to pay damages and compensation for
mentally and economic loss*

*iii. By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the
respondent bank may kindly be directed/ordered to
refund the entire amount of the petitioner along with the
interest of Fixed Deposit.*

*iv. Any other appropriate order or direction which this
Hon'ble Court deems just and proper may kindly be
passed in favour of the petitioner."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent No.2) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J